6-16-2014

Graduates' Reflections on an Online Doctorate in Educational Technology

Julia Fuller

Kennesaw State University, jfulle40@kennesaw.edu

Mary Risner

University of Florida

Laura Lowder

Pfeiffer University

Barry Bachenheimer

Pascack Valley Regional School District/Montclair State University

Mark Hart

University of Florida

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.kennesaw.edu/facpubs>

Part of the [Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Fuller, J. S., Risner, M. E., Lowder, L., Hart, M., & Bachenheimer, B. (2014). Graduates' Reflections on an Online Doctorate in Educational Technology. *TechTrends*, 58(4), 73-80. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11528-014-0771-4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by DigitalCommons@Kennesaw State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Kennesaw State University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@kennesaw.edu.

Association for Educational
Communications and Technology

iTECH DIGEST

INTERNATIONAL ELECTRONIC NEWSLETTER

Linking Research and Practice to Improve Learning

Volume 4, Number 4
Fall 2014

Graduates' Reflections on an Online Doctorate in Educational Technology

Julia S. Fuller, Mary E. Risner, Laura Lowder, Mark Hart, and Barry Bachenheimer

Editor's note: Authors are recent graduates of the online Doctor of Education program in Educational Technology at the University of Florida. See full text for citations.

The CoI [Community of Inquiry] model for online learning is consistent with social constructivism research and theory and guided this analysis of the Ed.D. program. The CoI directs the instructional design of meaningful learning experiences through elements that support cognition and professional growth among an online community of adult learners. Three principal elements critical to successful

development of online learning environments are social presence, cognitive presence, and teaching presence. These presences interact and at times overlap. Social presence in the online environment refers to a learner's ability to connect with others socially and emotionally, thereby generating expressiveness, openness, and cohesiveness among group members. An environment that cultivates this sense of community also contributes to satisfaction with the online learning experience and learning outcomes. Such learning communities begin with relationship-building interactions and gradually move toward focused and academic discourse among online learners....

The cognitive component of the CoI frame-

continued on page 4

This article is excerpted from *TechTrends*, Vol. 58, No. 4, July/August 2014. Read the full text by logging in at the AECT website, <http://aect.org/>, and clicking on Publications.

CALL FOR PAPERS

Check out calls for papers, proposals, and participants. Get involved.

Page 2

JOURNALS

Members have instant online access to a wide variety of journals.

Page 5

ISSUES IN FOCUS

The column this month discusses context in international comparisons.

Page 6

Don't Miss This Opportunity!

2014 AECT International Convention

November 4-8
Jacksonville, Florida

**Association for
Educational
Communications and
Technology**

320 West 8th Street
Suite 101
Bloomington, IN 47404-3745

U.S. Toll-Free:
877-677-AECT

Local Phone:
812-335-7675

Email:
aect@aect.org

Website:
<http://aect.org/>

iTECH DIGEST
Copyright © 2014
Association for Educational
Communications and
Technology

Calls for Papers, Proposals, and Participants

Call for Proposals. Need funding to develop a research proposal? Consider applying for a Collaborative Research Grant. The purpose of the grant is to provide monetary support to enable association members to develop research project proposals that include active collaboration among researchers, research institutions, and AECT. Information at <http://aect.org/>.

Call for Papers. The AECT flagship practitioner journal, *TechTrends*, is seeking manuscript submissions, including from international and newer authors. For more information, see the Instructions for Authors online by clicking on Publications on the AECT website: <http://aect.org/>.

AECT's premier research journal, *Educational Technology Research and Development (ETR&D)* also welcomes submissions on topics of interest from new and experienced researchers.

Call for Speakers/Writers.

Looking for a keynote speaker or someone to write for your publication, or want to be that speaker or writer? Check out the new AECT Speakers/Writers Bureau at <http://aect.org/>.

Call for Manuscripts.

AECT, in collaboration with Springer, has announced a new series of books and monographs under the title, "Educational Communications and Technology: Issues and Innovations."

The series will extend AECT's ongoing publications with Springer and offer the Springer Briefs innovative format to AECT authors and contributors. More information potential authors at <http://aect.org/>.

Call for Videos. "We Are AECT" is an opportunity to share how members define AECT. What's your "elevator speech" description of AECT? Think of this project as making your "elevator video," 3-5 minutes (or shorter, 15-60 seconds), something you might share on your smartphone with a new colleague who asks, "What's AECT all about?" Complete directions can be found at <http://aect.org/>.

Recently, there has been increased consideration in CSCL for the propositional nature of technical artifacts in that technical artifacts make opportunities available for collaboration and learning that suggest a certain use, but do not causally determine learning activities or outcomes. It is argued that technology is a composite of the technical artifact and the practical actions of its users. Underpinning the argument is the assumption that a technical artifact itself is underdetermined, and that its use and effect as a technology take shape when brought into use in particular activity contexts (either by an individual user or in collaboration). It is maintained that a technical artifact carries a potential for action that becomes available when learner(s) and artifact connect, and that the availability and realization of this potential is relative to the one(s) who interact(s) with the artifact and to the socio-cultural context in which this takes place. This is not simply saying that learners do different things with artifacts, or that they may do things differently. Underlying this is a more fundamental concern: There is agency present in both the learners and the technical artifacts they are presented with. The artifact-in-use, consequently, is contingent on the interaction of these agents and it is—to a greater or lesser extent—shaped by both of them.

How to Bring a Technical Artifact into Use: A Micro-Developmental Perspective

Maarten Overdijk, Wouter van Diggelen,
Jerry Andriessen, and Paul A. Kirschner
The Netherlands

The task that lies ahead is to further detail how technical artifacts are brought into use, or rather, how they are attuned to, interacted with, and shaped in various and varied educational practices. It is necessary, we argue, to give precise accounts of how the uses and effects of particular technical artifacts are constructed within the contexts of particular classrooms. This is important also because classrooms—such as the one in this study—are increasingly open and heterogeneous environments wherein learning is often no longer centrally arranged. Instead, the learners themselves are at the centre of their own learning process, and are expected to shape their own learning activities in a trajectory that fits with their personal abilities, knowledge, and needs. To adapt to these new kinds of pedagogies the current breed of technical artifacts shows increased flexibility.

In this paper we adopt a micro-developmental perspective on the use and effect of artifacts....

Editor's note: This is an excerpt from the introduction to this detailed study. Readers are encouraged to read the full text, which is in *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, published online June 18, 2014. AECT members have online access at <http://aect.org/>. Click on Publications.

ITECH DIGEST is published quarterly by the Association for Educational Communications and Technology.

Stephen Harmon
President

Phillip Harris
Executive Director

Donovan R. Walling
ITECH DIGEST Editor

AECT Mission

The mission of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology is to provide international leadership by promoting scholarship and best practices in the creation, use, and management of technologies for effective teaching and learning in a wide range of settings.

Goals:

- Define the disciplines and professional activities that comprise the field of educational communications and technology.
- Serve and represent professionals in the field and support professional growth.
- Advance scholarship and practice that contribute to and enlarge the knowledge base of the field.
- Promote policies that ensure the humane and ethical use of educational communications and technology at all levels, from the personal through the international.

Graduates' Reflections...continued from page 1

work emphasizes learners' aptitude for constructing meaning through sustained reflection and discourse. Cognitive presence students progress from understanding, to exploration, to integration, and finally to application. In forum discussions, a significant component of online courses, the initial discussion question affects the cognitive levels of student responses by encouraging in-depth discussion of topics.

Teaching presence is the instructional design and organization "to support and enhance social and cognitive presence for the purpose of realizing educational outcomes." In addition to design, teaching presence includes facilitation of discourse and direct instruction. Instructional design of online learning and the role of the instructor enhance learner engagement with content, suggesting a link between cognitive and teaching presences.

[What follows is an analysis of the UF Ed.D. program through the lens of the three presences defined in the CoI.]

The program increases access to those who may otherwise not be able to complete doctoral work without displacing themselves, and the program allows students flexibility and opportunities to match the coursework with their career goals. Professional advancement is understood to be a benefit from any advanced degree, but the online Ed.D. program goes beyond theoretical learning and places a special emphasis on connecting theory to practice in the field...

UF employs a full-time faculty member to develop the program, to guide other instructors, and to be a point person for all students' logistical issues. The program focuses on students' ability to integrate new knowledge and professional practice and also exposes students to and gives them experience with qualitative and quantitative research methods. Students are encouraged to use this knowledge to work on research projects directly related to their work and to share the research for applied purposes.

The core faculty members for the program are introduced during the summer orientation, and they work with the students collectively and individually until graduation.

Editor's note: Like most excerpts, this one cannot do justice to the actual study. Readers are advised to consult the full text online.

Member Access Publications

Educational Technology Research and Development (ETR&D)

Bimonthly
ISSN: 1042-1629 (print)
ISSN: 1556-6501 (electronic)
Journal no. 11423
Springer US

TechTrends

Bimonthly
ISSN: 8756-3894 (print)
ISSN: 1559-7075 (electronic)
Journal no. 11528
Springer US

Instructional Science

An International Journal of the
Learning Sciences
Bimonthly
ISSN: 0020-4277 (print)
ISSN: 1573-1952 (electronic)
Journal no. 11251
Springer Netherlands

International Journal of Designs for Learning

Semiannual
ISSN: 2159-449X
(electronic)
AECT

Journal of Applied Instructional Design

Semiannual
ISSN: 2160-5289
(electronic)
AECT

Visit the AECT website <http://aect.org/>, log on,
and click on Publications for instant access.

Above is a snapshot of the many benefits that AECT members enjoy. For a modest dues investment, members have instant electronic access to a vast virtual library, tuition and resource discounts, student discounted convention registration, the career center, and, most valuable of all, participation in the AECT network of top professionals in the field. The return on your membership dues investment will range from 400% to 1,500%. Pledge now to use the resources your AECT membership provides. Get your best return!

Issues in Focus

Context, Context, Context

The center illustration has been making the rounds on Facebook for several years. Readers—well, most of us—can parse the text after only a moment's perusal. Our brains automatically convert the numbers into letters. But the automaticity, according to those who study such phenomena, has to do with context—i.e., reading the numbers in the context of words and phrases, not merely because they visually resemble certain letters.

Increasingly, politicians and policy makers frame education policies and rules for teaching and learning, whether for K-12 or higher, with scant regard for context. A recent article by Iris C. Rotberg in *Teachers College Record* offers an example.

Rotberg articulates some of the methodological concerns inherent in international test-score comparisons, concerns that too many pundits gloss over and too many politicians and policy makers fail utterly to understand. Consequently we get bad education policy.

The political *schadenfreude* of criticizing American education—at all levels—based on misunderstood comparisons to education achievements in other countries is a national mania, and it is undermining our education institutions.

Rotberg argues for a focus on what happens *within* countries, rather than making comparisons *between* countries. She cites findings by PISA that, for instance,

show that the proportion of variance in student achievement accounted for by socioeconomic status and other differences *within* member coun-

tries...is nine times greater than the proportion accounted for by differences *among* OECD countries....

The inescapable conclusion, which Rotberg and others arrive at, is that context is a central factor in any meaningful study of education conditions, achievement, or what have you. And what happens inside a country's education system is far more meaningful when it

comes to improving education than trying to compare that system to some other country's system.

Rather like the realtors' mantra of "location, location, location," perhaps the mantra of education research ought to be "context, context, context."

Critics of American public schools used to wring their hands and cry, "Why can't our students score as well as students in Finland?" (That was before Finland dropped from the #1 spot in international comparisons.) The most direct and reasonable response is simply that American schools are not Finnish schools. Nor is American society, econo-

my, and so on the same as—or even comparable to—Finnish society, etc.

Misbegotten comparisons do nothing to improve education. Some would contend that, indeed, political missteps and many of the bad policies currently being foisted on American education are a result, at least in part, of putting too much trust in untrustworthy, decontextualized international comparisons.

7H15 M3554G3
53RV35 7O PR0V3
H0W 0UR M1ND5 C4N
D0 4M4Z1NG 7H1NG5!
1MPR3551V3 7H1NG5!
1N 7H3 B3G1NN1NG
17 WA5 H4RD BU7
N0W, 0N 7H15 L1N3
Y0UR M1ND 1S
R34D1NG 17
4U70M471C4LLY
W17H 0U7 3V3N
7H1NK1NG 4B0U7 17,
B3 PROUD! ONLY
C3R741N P30PL3 C4N
R3AD 7H15.

Rotberg, I.C. (2014, May 19). "Tenuous Findings, Tenuous Policies." *Teachers College Record*.