

11-29-1994

Quasireversibility Methods for Non-Well-Posed Problems

Gordon W. Clark
Kennesaw State University

Seth F. Oppenheimer
Mississippi State University

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.kennesaw.edu/facpubs>

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Clark, G. W., & Oppenheimer, S. F. Quasireversibility methods for non-well-posed problems. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 1994(8), 1-9.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by DigitalCommons@Kennesaw State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Kennesaw State University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@kennesaw.edu.

Quasireversibility Methods for Non-Well-Posed Problems *

Gordon W. Clark
and
Seth F. Oppenheimer

Abstract

The final value problem,

$$\begin{cases} u_t + Au = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ u(T) = f \end{cases}$$

with positive self-adjoint unbounded A is known to be ill-posed. One approach to dealing with this has been the method of quasireversibility, where the operator is perturbed to obtain a well-posed problem which approximates the original problem. In this work, we will use a quasi-boundary-value method, where we perturb the final condition to form an approximate non-local problem depending on a small parameter α . We show that the approximate problems are well posed and that their solutions u_α converge on $[0, T]$ if and only if the original problem has a classical solution. We obtain several other results, including some explicit convergence rates.

1 Introduction

Let A be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space H such that $-A$ generates a compact contraction semi-group on H . We consider the problem of finding a $u : [0, T] \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + Au(t) = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ u(T) = f \end{cases} \quad (FVP)$$

for some prescribed final value f in H . Such problems are not well posed, that is, even if a unique solution exists on $[0, T]$ it need not depend continuously on the

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35A35, 35R25.

Key words and phrases: Quasireversibility, Final Value Problems, Ill-Posed Problems.

©1994 Southwest Texas State University and University of North Texas.

Submitted: November 14, 1994.

Partially supported by Army contract DACA 39-94-K-0018 (S. F. O.)

final value f . One method for approaching such problems is quasi reversibility, introduced by Lattes and Lions in the 1960's. The idea is to replace (FVP) with an approximate problem which is well posed, then use the solutions of this new problem to construct approximate solutions to (FVP). In the original method of quasi reversibility [2] Lattes and Lions approximate (FVP) with

$$\begin{cases} v'_\alpha(t) + Av_\alpha(t) - \alpha A^2 v_\alpha(t) = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ v_\alpha(T) = f, \end{cases}$$

where the operator A is replaced by a perturbation, in this case by $A - \alpha A^2$. For each $\alpha > 0$, they use the *initial value* $u_0 = v_\alpha(0)$ in

$$\begin{cases} u'_\alpha(t) + Au_\alpha(t) = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ u_\alpha(0) = v_\alpha(0). \end{cases}$$

Finally they show that the $u_\alpha(T)$ converge to f as α tends to zero. The method does not consider $u(t)$ for $t < T$ and the operator carrying f into $v_\alpha(0)$ has large norm for small α (on the order of $e^{\frac{c}{\alpha}}$) [3].

In [6], Showalter approximates (FVP) with

$$\begin{cases} v'_\alpha(t) + \alpha Av'_\alpha(t) + Av_\alpha(t) = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ v_\alpha(T) = f \end{cases}$$

and as above for each $\alpha > 0$, uses the initial value $u_0 = v_\alpha(0)$ in

$$\begin{cases} u'_\alpha(t) + Au_\alpha(t) = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ u_\alpha(0) = v_\alpha(0). \end{cases}$$

The solutions u_α are shown to approximate (FVP) in the sense that $u_\alpha(T)$ converges to f as α tends to zero. Also the $u_\alpha(t)$ are shown to converge to the solution $u(t)$ of (FVP) if and only if such exists, but again the norm of the function carrying f to $v_\alpha(0)$ is quite large for small α .

Miller [3] addresses this problem of large norm by finding optimal perturbations of the operator A . He states that it should be possible to make the norm on the order of $\frac{c}{\alpha}$ rather than $\exp(\frac{c}{\alpha})$ and derives conditions on the perturbation $f(A)$ to achieve *best possible* results. As in the methods above he approximates (FVP) with

$$\begin{cases} v'(t) + f(A)v(t) = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ v(T) = f \end{cases}$$

and again solves the problem forward using $v(0)$ as an initial condition. Miller calls this *stabilized quasi reversibility*.

Finally Showalter [7] addresses a more general problem in a different way. He approximates the problem

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + Au(t) - Bu(t) = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ u(0) = f. \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + Au(t) - Bu(t) = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ u(0) + \alpha u(T) = f. \end{cases}$$

He calls this the *quasi-boundary-value method*, and he suggests that this method gives a better approximation than many other quasireversibility type methods. In this work we study this method to approximate (FVP) and prove results analogous to the ones stated in [7]. We note that (FVP) is a special case of the problem studied in [7]. However, ore results are proved directly and this allows us to obtain explicit estimates for the convergence rate of the approximations.

2 Perturbing the final conditions

We approximate (FVP) with the *quasi-boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + Au(t) = 0, & 0 < t < T \\ \alpha u(0) + u(T) = f. \end{cases} \quad (QBVP)$$

One superficial advantage of this method is that there is no need to *solve forward* here. More importantly, the error introduced by small changes in the final value f is not exponential, but of the order $\frac{1}{\alpha}$. We will show that this problem is well posed for each $\alpha > 0$, and that the approximations u_α are stable. We show that $u_\alpha(T)$ converges to f as α goes to zero and that the values $u_\alpha(t)$ converge on $[0, T]$ if and only if (FVP) has a solution.

In the following, assume that H is a separable Hilbert space and A is as above and that 0 is in the resolvent set of A . Let $S(t)$ be the compact contraction semi-group generated by $-A$. Since A^{-1} is compact, there is an orthonormal eigenbasis ϕ_n for H and eigenvalues $\frac{1}{\lambda_n}$ of A^{-1} such that $A^{-1}\phi_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n}\phi_n$. Then the eigenvalues of $-A$ are $-\lambda_n$ and those for $S(t)$ are $e^{-t\lambda_n}$ (and possibly zero) [5]. In particular, for each positive α , $\alpha I + S(T)$ is invertible. Also, if $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \phi_i$, then $S(T)u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-T\lambda_i} a_i \phi_i$ and

$$(S(T)u, u) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-T\lambda_i} a_i^2 \geq 0.$$

From this accretive type condition we obtain

$$\|(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

It is useful to know exactly when (FVP) has a solution. The following lemma answers this question.

Lemma 1 *If $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \phi_i$, then (FVP) has a solution if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 e^{2T\lambda_i}$ converges.*

Proof. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 e^{2T\lambda_i}$ converges, we merely define $u(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{(T-t)\lambda_i} b_i \phi_i$. Let u be a solution to (FVP). Then $u(0)$ has an eigenfunction expansion $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \phi_i$, and

$$S(T)u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-T\lambda_i} a_i \phi_i = f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \phi_i.$$

This implies that $e^{-T\lambda_i} a_i = b_i$ and thus $a_i = b_i e^{T\lambda_i}$. Since $u(0)$ is in H , we have $\|u\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^2 < \infty$ and we are done. \square

We wish to show that our approximate problem is well-posed and the following gives us what we need.

Definition. Define $u_{\alpha}(t) = S(t)(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}f$, for f in H , $\alpha > 0$ and t in $[0, T]$.

Theorem 1 *The function $u_{\alpha}(t)$ is the unique solution of (QBVP) and it depends continuously on f .*

Proof. Since $(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}f$ is in the domain of A , it is clear that u_{α} is a classical solution of the differential equation. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha u_{\alpha}(0) + u_{\alpha}(T) &= \alpha(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}f + S(T)(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}f \\ &= (\alpha I + S(T))(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}f = f. \end{aligned}$$

To see the continuous dependence of u_{α} on f , compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S(t)(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}f_1 - S(t)(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}f_2\| \\ &= \|S(t)(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}(f_1 - f_2)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f_1 - f_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness follows from the fact that any solution v must satisfy $v(0) = (\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}f$ and the uniqueness of solutions to the forward problem. \square

We make two observations at this point which will be useful later. First, from the above it is clear that $\|u_{\alpha}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f\|$. Secondly, if $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \phi_i$, then $(\alpha I + S(T))u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha + e^{-T\lambda_i}) a_i \phi_i$ and

$$(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1}u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{\alpha + e^{-T\lambda_i}} \phi_i.$$

Theorem 2 *For all f in H , $\alpha > 0$, and t in $[0, T]$ we have that*

$$\|u_{\alpha}(t)\| \leq \alpha^{\frac{t-T}{T}} \|f\|.$$

Proof. If $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \phi_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\alpha}(t)\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-2t\lambda_i} b_i^2 (\alpha + e^{-T\lambda_i})^{-2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-2t\lambda_i} b_i^2 \left[(\alpha + e^{-T\lambda_i})^{\frac{t}{T}} (\alpha + e^{-T\lambda_i})^{1-\frac{t}{T}} \right]^{-2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 (\alpha^{1-\frac{t}{T}})^{-2} \\ &= \left(\alpha^{\frac{t-T}{T}} \right)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we are done. \square

Theorem 3 For all f in H , $\|u_{\alpha}(T) - f\|$ tends to zero as α tends to zero. That is $u_{\alpha}(T)$ converges to f in H .

Proof. If $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \phi_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\alpha}(T) - f\|^2 &= \|S(T)(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1} f - f\|^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 \|(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1} f\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha^2 b_i^2 (\alpha + e^{-T\lambda_i})^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Choose N so that $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} b_i^2 < \epsilon/2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\alpha}(T) - f\|^2 &< \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha^2 b_i^2 (\alpha + e^{-T\lambda_i})^{-2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &\leq \alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^N b_i^2 e^{2\lambda_i T} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let α be such that $\alpha^2 < \epsilon \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^N b_i^2 e^{2\lambda_i T} \right)^{-2}$ and we are done. \square

Theorem 4 For all f in H , (FVP) has a solution u if and only if the sequence $u_{\alpha}(0)$ converges in H . Furthermore, we then have that $u_{\alpha}(t)$ converges to $u(t)$ as α tends to zero uniformly in t .

Proof. Assume that $\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} u_{\alpha}(0) = u_0$ exists. Let $u(t) = S(t)u_0$. Since $\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} u_{\alpha}(T) = f$,

$$\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \|u(t) - u_{\alpha}(t)\| = \|S(t)u_0 - u_{\alpha}(t)\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \|S(t) (u_0 - (\alpha I + S(T))^{-1} f)\| \\
&\leq \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \|u_0 - (\alpha I + S(T))^{-1} f\| \\
&= \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \|u_0 - u_\alpha(0)\| = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $u(T) = f$ and $u(t) = S(t)u_0$ solves (FVP). We also see that $u_\alpha(t)$ converges to $u(t)$ uniformly in t .

Now let us assume that $u(t)$ is the solution to (FVP). Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \phi_i$. From Lemma 1 we have that $\|u(0)\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 e^{2T\lambda_i}$. Choose N so that $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} b_i^2 e^{2T\lambda_i} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Let $\alpha, \gamma > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_\alpha(0) - u_\gamma(0)\|^2 &= \|(\alpha I + S(T))^{-1} f - (\gamma I + S(T))^{-1} f\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha + e^{-T\lambda_i}} - \frac{1}{\gamma + e^{-T\lambda_i}} \right) b_i \phi_i \right\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\gamma - \alpha)^2 (\alpha\gamma + (\alpha + \gamma)e^{-T\lambda_i} + e^{-2T\lambda_i})^{-2} b_i^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N (\gamma - \alpha)^2 (\alpha\gamma + (\alpha + \gamma)e^{-T\lambda_i} + e^{-2T\lambda_i})^{-2} b_i^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} (\gamma - \alpha)^2 (\alpha\gamma + (\alpha + \gamma)e^{-T\lambda_i} + e^{-2T\lambda_i})^{-2} b_i^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^N (\gamma - \alpha)^2 e^{4T\lambda_i} b_i^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma - \alpha}{\alpha + \gamma} \right)^2 b_i^2 e^{2T\lambda_i} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^N (\gamma - \alpha)^2 e^{4T\lambda_i} b_i^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now if we choose $\delta > 0$ so that $\delta^2 < \epsilon \left(\sum_{i=1}^N e^{4T\lambda_i} b_i^2 \right)^{-1}$ and require that α and γ be less than δ , we have that

$$\|u_\alpha(0) - u_\gamma(0)\|^2 < \epsilon.$$

We therefore have that $\{u_\alpha(0)\}$ is Cauchy and thus converges. From the first part of the theorem, we have that $u_\alpha(t)$ converges to $u(t)$ uniformly in t . \square

We end this paper with a result that gives explicit convergence rates in the case that (FVP) is soluble for some positive final time.

Theorem 5 *If $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \phi_i$ is in H and there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 e^{\epsilon\lambda_i T}$ converges, then $\|u_\alpha(T) - f\|$ converges to zero with order $\alpha^\epsilon \epsilon^{-2}$.*

Proof. Let ϵ be in $(0, 2)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 e^{\epsilon \lambda_i T}$ is finite and let k be in $(0, 2)$. Fix a natural number n . Define

$$g_n(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^k}{(\alpha + e^{-\lambda_n T})^2}.$$

Differentiating with respect to α yields

$$g'_n(\alpha) = \alpha^{k-1} \frac{(k-2)\alpha + k e^{-T\lambda_n}}{(\alpha + e^{-\lambda_n T})^3}.$$

Thus $g'_n(\alpha) = 0$ when either $\alpha = 0$ or

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{2-k} e^{-T\lambda_n}.$$

Since $g_n(\alpha) > 0$, $g_n(0) = 0$, and $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} g_n(\alpha) = 0$ we have that $\alpha_0 = \frac{k}{2-k} e^{-T\lambda_n}$ is the critical value at which g_n achieves its maximum. Thus we have the inequality

$$g_n(\alpha) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{k}{2-k}\right)^k e^{-kT\lambda_n}}{(\alpha_0 + e^{-\lambda_n T})^2}.$$

We now calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\alpha(T) - f\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \alpha^2 (\alpha + e^{-\lambda_n T})^{-2} = \alpha^{2-k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 g_n(\alpha) \\ &\leq \alpha^{2-k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \left(\frac{k}{2-k}\right)^k e^{-kT\lambda_n} (\alpha_0 + e^{-\lambda_n T})^{-2} \\ &\leq \alpha^{2-k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \left(\frac{k}{2-k}\right)^k e^{(2-k)T\lambda_n} (\alpha_0^2 + 2\alpha_0 e^{\lambda_n T} + 1)^{-1} \\ &\leq \alpha^{2-k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \left(\frac{k}{2-k}\right)^k e^{(2-k)T\lambda_n} \\ &= \alpha^{2-k} \left(\frac{k}{2-k}\right)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 e^{(2-k)T\lambda_n}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $k = 2 - \epsilon$ we arrive at

$$\|u_\alpha(T) - f\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^2 \alpha^\epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 e^{\epsilon T\lambda_n} = c \alpha^\epsilon \epsilon^{-2}.$$

□

If we assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 e^{(2+\epsilon)\lambda_i T}$ converges, working as above, we have that

$$\|u_\alpha(0) - u(0)\|^2 = \alpha^{2-k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 g_n(\alpha) e^{2T\lambda_n}$$

$$\leq \alpha^{2-k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \left(\frac{k}{2-k} \right)^k e^{(4-k)T\lambda_n}.$$

As above, letting $k = 2 - \epsilon$, we arrive at the following.

Corollary 1 *If $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \phi_i$ is in H and there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i^2 e^{(2+\epsilon)\lambda_i T}$ converges, then $\|u_{\alpha}(t) - u(t)\|$ converges to zero with order $\alpha^{\epsilon} \epsilon^{-2}$ uniformly in t .*

References

- [1] Conway, J.B., "A Course in Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990
- [2] Lattes, R. and Lions, J.L., "Methode de Quasi-Reversibility et Applications", Dunod, Paris, 1967 (English translation R. Bellman, Elsevier, New York, 1969)
- [3] Miller, K., *Stabilized quasireversibility and other nearly best possible methods for non-well-posed problems*, "Symposium on Non-Well-Posed Problems and Logarithmic Convexity", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 316, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, pp 161-176
- [4] Payne, L.E., *Some general remarks on improperly posed problems for partial differential equations*, "Symposium on Non-Well-Posed Problems and Logarithmic Convexity", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 316, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, pp 1-30
- [5] Pazy, A., "Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations", Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983
- [6] Showalter, R.E., *The Final Value Problem for Evolution Equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47, 1974, pp 563-572
- [7] Showalter, R.E., *Cauchy Problem for Hyper-Parabolic Partial Differential Equations*, "Trends in the Theory and Practice of Non-Linear Analysis", Elsevier, 1983
- [8] Yosida, K., "Functional Analysis", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980

GORDON W. CLARK
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 KENNESAW STATE COLLEGE
 P O BOX 444
 MARIETTA, GA 30061
 E-mail address: clark@math.msstate.edu SETH F. OPPENHEIMER

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY
DRAWER MA MSU, MS 39762
E-mail address: seth@math.msstate.edu