Appendix 1
A Great Big List of Fallacies

To avoid falling for the "Intrinsic Value of Senseless Hard Work Fallacy" (see also "Reinventing the Wheel"), I began with Wikipedia's helpful divisions, list, and descriptions as a base (since Wikipedia articles aren't subject to copyright restrictions), but felt free to add new fallacies, and tweak a bit here and there if I felt further explanation was needed. If you don't understand a fallacy from the brief description below, consider Googling the name of the fallacy, or finding an article dedicated to the fallacy in Wikipedia.

Consider the list representative rather than exhaustive.

Informal fallacies

These arguments are fallacious for reasons other than their structure or form (formal = the "form" of the argument). Thus, informal fallacies typically require an examination of the argument's content.

- Argument from (personal) incredulity (aka - divine fallacy, appeal to common sense) – I cannot imagine how this could be true, therefore it must be false.
- Argument from repetition (argumentum ad nauseam) – signifies that it has been discussed so extensively that nobody cares to discuss it anymore.
- Argument from silence (argumentum e silentio) – the conclusion is based on the absence of evidence, rather than the existence of evidence.
- Argument to moderation (false compromise, middle ground, fallacy of the mean, argumentum ad temperantiam) – assuming that the compromise between two positions is always correct.
- Argumentum verbosium – See proof by verbosity, below.
- (Shifting the) burden of proof (see – onus probandi) – I need not prove my claim, you must prove it is false.
- Circular reasoning (circulus in demonstrando) – when the reasoner begins with (or assumes) what he or she is trying to end up with; sometimes called assuming the conclusion.
- Circular cause and consequence – where the consequence of the phenomenon is claimed to be its root cause.
- Continuum fallacy (fallacy of the beard, line-drawing fallacy, sorites fallacy, fallacy of the heap, bald man fallacy) – improperly rejecting a claim for being imprecise.
- Correlative-based fallacies
  - Correlation proves causation (cum hoc ergo propter hoc) – a faulty assumption that correlation between two variables implies that one causes the other.
  - Suppressed correlative – where a correlative is redefined so that one alternative is made impossible.
- Ambiguous middle term – a common ambiguity in syllogisms in which the middle term is equivocated.
- Ecological fallacy – inferences about the nature of specific individuals are based solely upon aggregate statistics collected for the group to which those individuals belong.
- Etymological fallacy – reasons that the original or historical meaning of a word or phrase is necessarily similar to its actual present-day meaning.
- Fallacy of composition – assuming that something true of part of a whole must also be true of the whole.
- Fallacy of division – assuming that something true of a thing must also be true of all or some of its parts.
- Fallacy of many questions (complex question, fallacy of presupposition, loaded question, plurium interrogationum) – someone asks a question that presupposes something that has not been
proven or accepted by all the people involved. This fallacy is often used rhetorically, so that the question limits direct replies to those that serve the questioner’s agenda.

- **Fallacy of the single cause (causal oversimplification)** – it is assumed that there is one, simple cause of an outcome when in reality it may have been caused by multiple causes.
- **False attribution** – an advocate appeals to an irrelevant, unqualified, unidentified, biased or fabricated source in support of an argument.
- **Fallacy of quoting out of context (contextomy)** – refers to the selective excerpting of words from their original context in a way that distorts the source’s intended meaning.
- **False authority (single authority)** – using an expert of dubious credentials and/or using only one opinion to sell a product or idea. Related to the appeal to authority fallacy.
- **Gambler's fallacy** – the incorrect belief that separate, independent events can affect the likelihood of another random event. If a coin lands on heads ten times in a row, the belief that it is “due to the number of times it had previously landed on tails” is incorrect.
- **Hedging** – using words with ambiguous meanings, then changing the meaning of them later.
- **Historian's fallacy** – occurs when one assumes that decision makers of the past viewed events from the same perspective and having the same information as those subsequently analyzing the decision. (Not to be confused with presentism, which is a mode of historical analysis in which present-day ideas, such as moral standards, are projected into the past.)
- **Homunculus fallacy** – where a "middle-man" is used for explanation, this sometimes leads to regressive middle-men. Explains without actually explaining the real nature of a function or a process. Instead, it explains the concept in terms of the concept itself, without first defining or explaining the original concept. Explaining thought as something produced by a little thinker, a sort of homunculus inside the head, merely explains it as another kind of thinking (as different but the same).
- **Inflation of conflict** – The experts of a field of knowledge disagree on a certain point, so the scholars must know nothing, and therefore the legitimacy of their entire field is put to question.
- **If-by-whiskey** – an argument that supports both sides of an issue by using terms that are selectively emotionally sensitive.
- **Incomplete comparison** – in which insufficient information is provided to make a complete comparison.
- **Inconsistent comparison** – where different methods of comparison are used, leaving one with a false impression of the whole comparison.
- **Ignoratio elenchi** (irrelevant conclusion, missing the point) – an argument that may in itself be valid, but does not address the issue in question.
- **Kettle logic** – using multiple inconsistent arguments to defend a position.
- **Ludic fallacy** – the belief that the outcomes of non-regulated random occurrences can be encapsulated by a statistic; a failure to take into account unknowns in determining the probability of events taking place.
- **Mind projection fallacy** – when one considers the way one sees the world as the way the world really is.
- **Moral high ground fallacy** – one assumes a "holier-than-thou" attitude in an attempt to make oneself look good to win an argument.
- **Moralistic fallacy** – inferring factual conclusions from purely evaluative premises in violation of fact–value distinction. For instance, inferring *is* from *ought* is an instance of moralistic fallacy. Moralistic fallacy is the inverse of the naturalistic fallacy defined below.
- **Moving the goalposts (raising the bar)** – argument in which evidence presented in response to a specific claim is dismissed and some other (often greater) evidence is demanded.
- **Naturalistic fallacy** – inferring evaluative conclusions from purely factual premises in violation of fact–value distinction. For instance, inferring *ought* from *is* (sometimes referred to as the *is-ought fallacy*) is an instance of naturalistic fallacy. Also the naturalistic fallacy in a stricter sense as defined in the section "Conditional or questionable fallacies" below is an instance of the naturalistic fallacy. The naturalistic fallacy is the inverse of moralistic fallacy.
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- Nirvana fallacy (perfect solution fallacy) – when solutions to problems are rejected because they are not perfect.
- *Onus probandi* – from Latin "onus probandi incumbit ei qui dicit, non ei qui negat" the burden of proof is on the person who makes the claim, not on the person who denies (or questions the claim). It is a particular case of the "argumentum ad ignorantiam" fallacy; here the burden is shifted on the person defending against the assertion.
- Proof by verbosity (*argumentum verbosium*, proof by intimidation) – submission of others to an argument too complex and verbose to reasonably deal with in all its intimate details.
- Prosecutor's fallacy – a low probability of false matches does not mean a low probability of some false match being found.
- Proving too much – using a form of argument that, if it were valid, could be used more generally to reach an absurd conclusion.
- Psychologist's fallacy – an observer presupposes the objectivity of his own perspective when analyzing a behavioral event.
- Referential fallacy – assuming all words refer to existing things and that the meaning of words reside within the things they refer to, as opposed to words possibly referring to no real object or that the meaning of words often comes from how we use them.
- Regression fallacy – ascribes cause where none exists. The flaw is failing to account for natural fluctuations. It is frequently a special kind of the *post hoc* fallacy.
- Reification (hypostatization) – a fallacy of ambiguity, when an abstraction (abstract belief or hypothetical construct) is treated as if it were a concrete, real event or physical entity. In other words, it is the error of treating as a "real thing" something that is not a real thing, but merely an idea.
- Retrospective determinism – the argument that because some event has occurred, its occurrence must have been inevitable beforehand.
- Shotgun argumentation – the arguer offers such a large number of arguments for their position that the opponent can't possibly respond to all of them. (See "Argument by verbosity" above.)
- Special pleading – where a proponent of a position attempts to cite something as an exemption to a generally accepted rule or principle without justifying the exemption.
- Wrong direction – cause and effect are reversed. The cause is said to be the effect and vice versa.

**Faulty Generalizations**

These reach a conclusion from weak premises. Unlike fallacies of relevance, in fallacies of defective induction, the premises are related to the conclusions yet only weakly buttress the conclusions. A faulty generalization is thus produced.

- Accident – an exception to a generalization is ignored.
  - No true Scotsman – when a generalization is made true only when a counterexample is ruled out on shaky grounds.
- Cherry picking (suppressed evidence, incomplete evidence) – the act of pointing at individual cases or data that seem to confirm a particular position, while ignoring a significant portion of related cases or data that may contradict that position.
- Inductive fallacy – a more general name for fallacies such as hasty generalization. A conclusion is made from premises that only lightly support the conclusion.
- Misleading vividness – involves describing an occurrence in vivid detail, even if it is an exceptional occurrence, to convince someone that it is a problem.
- Overwhelming exception – an accurate generalization that comes with qualifications that eliminate so many cases that what remains is much less impressive than the initial statement might have led one to assume.
- Pathetic fallacy – when an inanimate object is declared to have characteristics of animate objects.
• Thought-terminating cliché – a commonly used phrase, sometimes passing as folk wisdom, used to quell cognitive dissonance, conceal lack of thought-entertainment, move onto other topics, etc.

Red Herring Fallacies

These are errors in logic where a proposition is, or is intended to be, misleading in order to make irrelevant or false inferences. In the general case any logical inference based on fake arguments, intended to replace the lack of real arguments or to replace implicitly the subject of the discussion.

• Ad hominem – attacking the arguer instead of the argument.
  o Poisoning the well – a type of ad hominem where adverse information about a target is presented with the intention of discrediting everything that the target person says.
  o Abusive fallacy – a subtype of "ad hominem" when it turns into verbal abuse of the opponent rather than arguing about the originally proposed argument.
  o Ad Hominem Tu Quoque - (personal inconsistency, aka "you too" fallacy) - "This fallacy is committed when it is concluded that a person's claim is false because 1) it is inconsistent with something else a person has said or 2) what a person says is inconsistent with her actions."

• Argumentum ad baculum (appeal to the stick, appeal to force, appeal to threat) – an argument made through coercion or threats of force to support a position.

• Appeal to equality – where an assertion is deemed true or false based on an assumed pretense of equality.

• Association fallacy (guilt by association) – arguing that because two things share a property they are the same.

• Appeal to consequences (argumentum ad consequentiam) – the conclusion is supported by a premise that asserts positive or negative consequences from some course of action in an attempt to distract from the initial discussion.

• Appeal to emotion – where an argument is made due to the manipulation of emotions, rather than the use of valid reasoning.
  o Appeal to fear – (Ad Baculum, or Ad Metum?) a specific type of appeal to emotion where an argument is made by increasing fear and prejudice towards the opposing side.
  o Appeal to flattery – a specific type of appeal to emotion where an argument is made due to the use of flattery to gather support.
  o Appeal to pity (argumentum ad misericordiam) – an argument attempts to induce pity to sway opponents.
  o Appeal to ridicule – an argument is made by presenting the opponent's argument in a way that makes it appear ridiculous.
  o Appeal to spite – a specific type of appeal to emotion where an argument is made through exploiting people's bitterness or spite towards an opposing party.
  o Wishful thinking – a specific type of appeal to emotion where a decision is made according to what might be pleasing to imagine, rather than according to evidence or reason.

• Appeal to motive – a premise is dismissed by calling into question the motives of its proposer.

• Appeal to novelty (argumentum novitatis/antiquitatis, ad novitam) – a proposal is claimed to be superior or better solely because it is new or modern.

• Appeal to poverty (argumentum ad Lazarum) – supporting a conclusion because the arguer is poor (or refuting because the arguer is wealthy). (Opposite of appeal to wealth.)

• Appeal to tradition (argumentum ad antiquitam) – a conclusion supported solely because it has long been held to be true.

• Appeal to nature – wherein judgment is based solely on whether the subject of judgment is 'natural' or 'unnatural'.
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• Appeal to wealth (argumentum ad crumenam) – supporting a conclusion because the arguer is wealthy (or refuting because the arguer is poor). (Sometimes taken together with the appeal to poverty as a general appeal to the arguer's financial situation.)
• Argument from silence (argumentum ex silentio) – a conclusion based on silence or lack of contrary evidence.
• Bulverism (Psychogenetic Fallacy) – inferring why an argument is being used, associating it to some psychological reason, then assuming it is invalid as a result. It is wrong to assume that if the origin of an idea comes from a biased mind, then the idea itself must also be a false.
• Chronological snobbery – a thesis is deemed incorrect because it was commonly held when something else, clearly false, was also commonly held.
• Fallacy of relative privation – dismissing an argument due to the existence of more important, but unrelated, problems in the world.
• Genetic fallacy – a conclusion is suggested based solely on something or someone's origin rather than its current meaning or context.
• Judgmental language – insulting or pejorative language to influence the recipient's judgment.
• Naturalistic fallacy (is–ought fallacy, naturalistic fallacy) – claims about what ought to be on the basis of statements about what is.
• Reductio ad Hitlerum (playing the Nazi card) – comparing an opponent or their argument to Hitler or Nazism in an attempt to associate a position with one that is universally reviled. (See also – Godwin's law)
• Texas sharpshooter fallacy – improperly asserting a cause to explain a cluster of data.
• Tu quoque ("you too", appeal to hypocrisy, I'm rubber and you're glue) – the argument states that a certain position is false or wrong and/or should be disregarded because its proponent fails to act consistently in accordance with that position.
• Two wrongs make a right – occurs when it is assumed that if one wrong is committed, another wrong will cancel it out.

Conditional or Questionable Fallacies

• Broken window fallacy – an argument that disregards lost opportunity costs (typically non-obvious, difficult to determine or otherwise hidden) associated with destroying property of others, or other ways of externalizing costs onto others. For example, an argument that states breaking a window generates income for a window fitter, but disregards the fact that the money spent on the new window cannot now be spent on new shoes.
• Definist fallacy – involves the confusion between two notions by defining one in terms of the other.
• Naturalistic fallacy – attempts to prove a claim about ethics by appealing to a definition of the term "good" in terms of either one or more claims about natural properties (sometimes also taken to mean the appeal to nature) or God's will.

Formal Fallacies

These are errors in logic that can be seen in the argument's form. All formal fallacies are specific types of non sequiturs.

• Appeal to probability – is a statement that takes something for granted because it would probably be the case (or might be the case).
• Argument from fallacy – assumes that if an argument for some conclusion is fallacious, then the conclusion itself is false.
• Base rate fallacy – making a probability judgment based on conditional probabilities, without taking into account the effect of prior probabilities.
Conjunction fallacy – assumption that an outcome simultaneously satisfying multiple conditions is more probable than an outcome satisfying a single one of them.

Masked man fallacy (illicit substitution of identicals) – the substitution of identical designators in a true statement can lead to a false one.

Propositional Fallacies

A propositional fallacy is an error in logic that concerns compound propositions. For a compound proposition to be true, the truth values of its constituent parts must satisfy the relevant logical connectives that occur in it (most commonly: <and>, <or>, <not>, <only if>, <if and only if>). The following fallacies involve inferences whose correctness is not guaranteed by the behavior of those logical connectives, and hence, which are not logically guaranteed to yield true conclusions.

Types of Propositional fallacies:

- **Affirming a disjunct** – concluding that one disjunct of a logical disjunction must be false because the other disjunct is true; A or B; A; therefore not B. For this to be fallacious, the word "or" must be used in an inclusive rather than exclusive sense. Example:
  
  Premise 1: To be on the cover of Vogue Magazine, one must be a celebrity or very beautiful.
  Premise 2: This month's cover was a celebrity.
  Therefore, this celebrity is not very beautiful.

- **Affirming the consequent** – the antecedent in an indicative conditional is claimed to be true because the consequent is true; if A, then B; B, therefore A.

- **Denying the antecedent** – the consequent in an indicative conditional is claimed to be false because the antecedent is false; if A, then B; not A, therefore not B.

Quantification Fallacies

A quantification fallacy is an error in logic where the quantifiers of the premises are in contradiction to the quantifier of the conclusion.

Example: The existential fallacy – an argument has a universal premise and a particular conclusion.

Formal Syllogistic Fallacies

Syllogistic fallacies – logical fallacies that occur in syllogisms.

- **Affirmative conclusion from a negative premise (illicit negative)** – when a categorical syllogism has a positive conclusion, but at least one negative premise.

- **Fallacy of exclusive premises** – a categorical syllogism that is invalid because both of its premises are negative.

- **Fallacy of four terms (quaternio terminorum)** – a categorical syllogism that has four terms.

- **Illicit major** – a categorical syllogism that is invalid because its major term is not distributed in the major premise but distributed in the conclusion.

- **Illicit minor** – a categorical syllogism that is invalid because its minor term is not distributed in the minor premise but distributed in the conclusion.
• Negative conclusion from affirmative premises (illicit affirmative) – when a categorical syllogism has a negative conclusion but affirmative premises.
• Fallacy of the undistributed middle – the middle term in a categorical syllogism is not distributed.
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Endnotes

Introduction

3. Ibid., pp. 144, 146. Perhaps industry people should have heard them in concert rather than in their studios. Members of *The Beatles* didn't feel well about their performance at the audition, perhaps because of the pressure (pp. 143, 144).
5. A 2011 survey of over 1,000 people who hire for a wide range of industries found less than 10 percent reporting that colleges did an excellent job of preparing students for the workplace. Applicants performed below employer's expectations on such skills as critical thinking. Survey by the Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Universities (ACICS), "Panel Discussion: Workforce Skills Reality Check," http://www.acics.org/events/content.aspx?id=4718.
9. A study of students in Taiwan found a class combining critical and creative thinking scoring better at both critical thinking and originality than a class that discussed only critical thinking and originality as a class that discussed only critical thinking. Yulin Chang, Bei-Di Lib, Hsieh-Chih Chena, Fa-Chung Chiu, "Investigating the synergy of critical thinking and creative thinking in the course of integrated activity," *Taiwan Educational Psychology: An International Journal of Experimental Educational Psychology*, 2014. http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01443410.2014.920079#.VGTC0slPKmU. Significantly, the University of Massachusetts, Boston offers an MA combining Critical and Creative Thinking. http://www.umb.edu/academics/caps/degree/creative-thinking.

Chapter 1: They're Overconfident

2. Ibid., p. 527.
4. In Socrates' words (as placed in his mouth by Plato, translated from the original Greek), "For I certainly do not yet know myself, but whithersoever the wind, as it were, of the argument blows, there lies our course." *The Republic*, op. cit.
6. Ibid., pp. 56, 57, 60-62, 228, 229. They would evaluate one another's strengths and weaknesses, report their bestselling item, and compete for the best volume producing item.
7. Ibid., p. 63. According to associate Charlie Cate, "I remember him saying over and over again: go in and check our competition. Check everyone who is our competition. And don't look for the bad. Look for the good…. Everyone is doing something right."
8. Ibid., pp. 81, 82.
9. Ibid., pp. 22, 23.
10. Ibid., p. 211.
11. Ibid., p. 230.
12. Jack Welch and Suzie Welch, *Winning* (New York: HarperCollins, 2005), pp. 25ff. See also Jack Welch, with John A. Byrne, *Jack: Straight from the Gut* (Warner Business Books: New York, 2001), pp. 162ff. On being idea-driven, he speaks of "candid feedback at every level." Welch gave a warning notice to a manufacturing leader who was "not open to ideas from others" (p. 163). Related comments by Welch: "Not being an open thinker would be a killer." "Treat people with dignity and give them a voice." Also see p. 176 on Welch as a facilitator. He would ask, "What would you change if you were in my shoes?" (p. 180)
14. Ibid., p. 183. Note also: "...the people closest to the work know it best. Almost every good thing that has happened in the company can be traced to the liberation of some business, some team, or some individual." Welch created "a culture where everyone began playing a part, where everyone's ideas began to count, and where leaders led rather than controlled." (p. 184)
15. Another great example of organizing a company to create and implement a steady flow of ideas would be the SAS Institute in Cary, NC. It has made the top 20 of Forbes' list of best companies to work for every year since Forbes began compiling the list. Its employee turnover rate is an astounding three percent to five percent, compared to the industry average of 20 percent. Revenues have grown for 28 years straight. The secret to their success? According to writers for the *Harvard Business Review*, "SAS has learned how to harness the creative energies of all its stakeholders, including its customers, software developers, managers, and support staff." They manage their creativity according to three guiding principles: "Help employees do their best work by keeping them intellectually engaged and by removing distractions. Make managers responsible for sparking creativity and eliminate arbitrary distinctions between "suits" and "creatives." And engage customers as creative partners so you can deliver superior products." Concerning candor at SAS, "it's not in keeping with the corporate culture to withhold constructive
criticism of higher-ups or hide problems from them; doing so would just result in an inferior product. In fact, most of SAS’s leaders have an open-door policy. People are free to pop in to talk over an issue or pitch a new product idea. And the CEO might stop by your office to ask you questions about the project you’re working on.” Richard Florida and Jim Goodnight, “Managing for Creativity,” Harvard Business Review, July 2005.

16. Concerning the importance of humility in getting the best jobs, it’s instructive that when The Wall Street Journal interviewed 2191 people who recruit from the top business schools, two of the schools were criticized by certain recruiters because they found their graduates to be arrogant, which was a big turnoff to the recruiters. Ronald Alsop, “The Top Business Schools” (A Special Report), The Wall Street Journal, Sept., 2003.

17. This fictional town was created by "Garrison" Keillor for the radio show A Prairie Home Companion. "The Lake Wobegon Effect" refers to the observed phenomenon that large portions of groups see themselves as above average in such areas as leadership or driving skills.


20. Novelist Terry Kay shared this illustration in a talk he gave at the Georgia Writers Association at Kennesaw State University. Here’s how Drew Barrymore describes director Steven Spielberg’s relationship with her as a child actor in the hit film E.T.: “All of us were free to offer input, but he especially seemed to like the silly things the kids came up with. Like in the scene where Henry, Robert, and I are hiding E.T. in the closet from our mother, Henry tells me that only kids can see E.T. There wasn't a line to go with that, and Steven told me to just make something up. So when we did the scene again, I just shrugged and said, 'Gimme a break!'” “He’d often take me aside and say something like, 'You're talking to me now. Do you really like this? Or do you have a different idea? Do you think it could be done in a different way? ’ Eventually I’d add something and Steven would smile and say, ‘Good, let’s combine ideas.'” It made me feel so good. For once I didn’t feel like some stupid little kid trying to make people love me. I felt important and useful.” Drew Barrymore, with Todd Gold, Little Girl Lost (Pocket Books: New York, 1990), p. 58.

22. In Dr. Philip Tetlock’s brilliant and painstakingly researched analysis of why experts are so often wrong in their forecasting, he concludes that “The dominant danger remains hubris, the... vice of closed-mindedness, of dismissing dissonant possibilities too quickly.” This is why Tetlock concludes that often a group of generally informed people may do better at political forecasting than a team of experts; thus the value of getting input from many diverse people, rather than automatically going with the advice of a team of experts. Philip E. Tetlock, Expert Political Judgment: How Good Is It? How Can We Know? (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2005), p. 23.

Chapter 2: They're Under Confident

1. This wasn’t just an off-the-cuff remark by Einstein in the heat of argument. At the time of his remark, in 1901, he was engaged in a series of squabbles with academic authorities. According to Isaacson, in his biography of Einstein, this quote “would prove a worthy credo, one suitable for being carved on his coat of arms if he had ever wanted such a thing.” Walter Isaacson, Einstein: His Life and Universe (New York: Simon & Schuster, 2007), p. 67.

2. People react to experts in a variety of ways. One researcher identified Simplifiers (those who make a decision based on simple premises and hold to it forever), Delegators (who have an authority they trust), and Questioners (those who look for pros and cons evidence). The following article claims that the Questioner group has grown in recent times. For example, rather than trusting a doctor implicitly, Questioners want to know the doctor’s rationale and to be involved in the process. For more on cognitive styles of decision making, see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Decision-making#Cognitive_styles. Note also the response below this blog post: http://www.brendan-nyhan.com/blog/2014/03/new-study-on-vaccine-messaging.html.

3. Christopher Cerf and Victor Navasky, The Experts Speak (New York: Pantheon Books, 1984 edition), p. 183. From Elvis, We Love You Tender (New York: Delacorte Press, 1979). Cerf and Navasky suggest that current media relies to an unhealthy measure on parading experts before their audiences. “…the mainstream media, and particularly television, have as their principal narrative convention the citation, quotation, and interviewing of experts…. In the mass media, where anchors and reporters are not permitted to have opinions of their own, expert opinion is all that is left…. If the Institute of Expertology is right, then, TV is a medium where a person who is wrong at least half the time is interviewed by another person whose chief qualification is that he has no opinion on the subject. From this encounter the truth is supposed to emerge.” The Experts Speak, 1998 edition, p. xxv.


8. He was reviewing the first performance in Vienna. The Experts Speak, p. 178, quoting from Louis Spohr, Selbstbiographie (Kassel: Barenreiter, 1861).


27. Cerf and Navasky, op. cit., p. 35.
28. Ibid., p. 47.
38. Ibid.
43. Sources include:
   a - Johnson C., Eccles R. (2005), _op. cit._
   b - Eccles R. _Acta Otolaryngol_ 2002; 122: 183–191 "An Explanation for the Seasonality of Acute Upper Respiratory Tract Viral Infections": from the Common Cold Centre, Cardiff School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.
   c - Mourtzoukou E.G., Falagas M.E., _Int J Tuberc Lung Dis_. 2007 Sep;11(9):938-43. "...most of the available evidence from laboratory and clinical studies suggests that inhaled cold air, cooling of the body surface and cold stress induced by lowering the core body temperature cause pathophysiological responses such as vasoconstriction in the respiratory tract mucosa and suppression of immune responses, which are responsible for increased susceptibility to infections."
44. According to the World Health Organization, "The case definition of the common cold is symptoms of a runny and/or stuffy nose, sneezing, with or without symptoms of headache and cough." (http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/fch_cah_01_02/en/).
45. Their article on the common cold is too brief to even mention prevention. Since it provides no endnotes, it's no help for finding primary sources. http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/128201/common-cold.
Chapter 3: They're Married to Brands

4. Actually, more could be saved, if he could purchase the Toyota outright to avoid making payments. But we'll let the money saved in interest be offset by higher repair expenses for purchasing an older vehicle.
11. Two excellent biographies of Warren Buffett document the frugality of his early years and how his passion to accumulate wealth eclipsed any childhood need to seek self-esteem from wearing the right clothes or owning the right things. See the early chapters of Roger Lowenstein, Buffett: The Making of an American Capitalist (New York: Main Street Books, 1995) and the more recent Alice Schroeder, The Snowball: Warren Buffett and the Business of Life (New York: Bantam Books, 2008). One of Buffett's classmates, Norma Jean Thurston, noted how his fellow students joked about how he wore the same tennis shoes year-round, coming across like a country bumpkin. According to Thurston, "Most of us were trying to be like everyone else…. I think he liked being different. …He was what he was and he never tried to be anything else." (Lowenstein, p. 26.) What a motivating example for overcoming the peer pressure that leads us to worship brands!

Chapter 4: They're Blinded by Prejudices, Preconceptions, and Biases

3. Ibid., p. 447.
4. Ibid., pp. 283ff.
5. Ibid., pp. 282,283.
6. Ibid., p. 298.
9. Lecture by David Green at Kennesaw State University, Feb., 2013.
11. http://www.nbclosangeles.com/news/local/Women-are-Better-Drivers-Than-Men-Study-137202638.html It appears that the question, "Are men drivers better than women drivers?" is a separate question from the one we considered.


15. I've not been able to trace down the president who said this. I'm thinking it was Theodore Roosevelt, but so far have failed to find it. If it wasn’t Roosevelt’s words, he certainly lived it. Trace the references to Roosevelt in *How to Win Friends and Influence People* (consult the index) and you’ll find him studying up on people’s interests before he met them, so that he could talk intelligently about their interests, remembering the names of people of the lowest status, and taking a sincere interest in their lives.


**Chapter 5: They Believe What They Want to Believe**

3. Ibid., pp. 36, 38, 77, 79.
4. Ibid., pp. 75, 78.
6. Ibid., p. 19.
8. Ibid., p. 82.
9. Ibid., pp. 119, 452ff.

10. A more recent study found that parents resistant to having their children vaccinated actually became more resistant after given factual information about the vaccination. Brendan Nyhan, Jason Reifler, Sean Richey, and Gary L. Freed, "Effective Messages in Vaccine Promotion: A Randomized Trial," *Pediatrics*. Note especially the insightful comment below a blog post summary of this study, distinguishing three kinds of thinkers ("questioners," "delegators," and "simplifiers") and why they wouldn't be positively impacted by the scare tactics/authoritative approach. Karen Crisalli Winter, March 7, 2014 (3:07 p.m.), comment on Brendan Nyhan, "New Study in Pediatrics on Vaccine Messaging" (March 3, 2014), http://www.brendan-nyhan.com/blog/2014/03/new-study-on-vaccine-messaging.html.


15. But Fox, according to the Columbia Journalism Review, has more diversity than MSNBC. Fox hires sharp liberals to have real debates with conservatives, http://www.cjr.org/feature/and_from_the_leftfox_news.php, http://www.politico.com/blogs/media/2013/12/is-msnbc-worse-than-fox-news-179175.html.

16. "During the late stages of the 2012 presidential campaign, a Pew Research analysis found that Barack Obama received far more negative coverage than positive on the Fox News Channel. Yet Fox found its ideological mirror image in MSNBC. In the final stretch of the campaign, nearly half (46%) of Obama’s coverage on Fox was negative, while just 6% was positive in tone. But MSNBC produced an even harsher narrative about the Republican in the race: 71% of Romney’s coverage was negative, versus 3% positive," http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2014/01/14/five-facts-about-fox-news/. If you watched the 2012 election on MSNBC during the final week, according to the Pew Research Center's *Project for Excellence in Journalism*, there were no positive articles about the Republican candidate, Mitt Romney. None. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MSNBC#Negative_Romney_coverage_in_2012_presidential_election. Also from this article: In the Pew Research Center's 2013 "State of the News Media" report, MSNBC was found to be the most opinionated news network, with 85% of the content being commentary or opinions, and only 15% of the content being factual reporting.

17. According to a NYT article by its first public editor, the NYT does indeed represent a certain worldview. "…readers with a different worldview will find The Times an alien beast.” He is himself liberal, and unabashedly says that the NYT is overwhelmingly liberal in each relevant section of the paper. He then goes through to show examples of what’s reported and what’s not reported, then justifies its liberal bias by saying that it’s representing the residents of NYC, who tend to believe the same way. Daniel Okrent, "The Public Editor: Is The New York Times a Liberal Newspaper?," July 25, 2004, http://www.nytimes.com/2004/07/25/opinion/the-public-editor-is-the-new-york-times-a-liberal-newspaper.html.

18. On media bias in popular media: http://newsroom.ucla.edu/portal/ucla/Media-Bias-Is-Real-Finds-UCLA-6664.aspx The original research, from which the article was drawn, is Tim Groseclose and Jeffrey Milyo, "A Measure of Media Bias," The *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 120, No. 4 (Nov., 2005), pp. 1191-1237. The study was of news content, not editorials. USA Today was closest to the center for newspapers; the NYT was far to the left (p. 1191). It found the Washington Post leaning
to the right. As for Wall Street Journal, the researchers were surprised to find that its news section was the most liberal of the 20 popular news outlets studied, yet the editorial section (opinion pieces) was quite conservative (p. 1213). The WSJ was farthest from center, with NYT next. While the UCLA study found Fox News to have a conservative bias, it found Fox News Special Report with Brit Hume to be one of the most centrist news outlets.


20. Media Matters for America, another self-described progressive media watch group, dedicates itself to "monitoring, analyzing, and correcting conservative misinformation in the U.S. media." Shouldn't reporters, when they quote these watch groups, tell the group's agenda? Conservative organizations Accuracy In Media and Media Research Center argue that the media has a liberal bias, and are dedicated to publicizing the issue. The Media Research Center was founded with the specific intention to "prove ... that liberal bias in the media does exist and undermines traditional American values," http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Media_bias_in_the_United_States.

21. For a helpful list of types of media bias to watch for, with helpful examples, comments and questions for teachers, see: http://www.studentnewsdaily.com/example-of-media-bias/news-headline-or-opinion/.

22. "...partisans perceive less bias in news coverage slanted to support their view than their opponents on the other side of the issue."

23. "In a range of studies, when news audiences who hew to opposing sides on an issue are given the same news coverage of the topic to evaluate, both view it as biased in favor of the other side. It's called the "hostile media effect." "Researchers believe that the explanation for this hostile media effect is selective categorization: opposing partisans attend to, process, and recall identical content from a news presentation but mentally categorize and label the same aspects of a story differently – as hostile to their own position." Matthew C. Nisbet, "Why Partisans View Mainstream Media as Biased and Ideological Media as Objective," http://bigthink.com/age-of-engagement/why-partisans-view-mainstream-media-as-biased-and-ideological-media-as-objective. In sum, "partisans perceive less bias in news coverage slanted to support their view than their opponents on the other side of the issue."


Chapter 6: They're Trapped in Traditions


3. Of course, it's been more complicated and convoluted than this brief summary presents, with both denominational and nondenominational churches and organizations wrestling with issues of new musical forms, both nationally and internationally.


6. Ibid., p. 87.

7. Ibid., pp. 166, 167.

8. Ibid., pp. 166-169.


10. Ibid., p. 206.

11. Ibid., p. 209.


13. Ibid., p. 63.


15. Ibid., pp. 18-20.

16. For example, in Edison's work to invent a light bulb, he followed his usual course of collecting all the information he could find on the subject. He assumed that the light would best glow in an environment of a gas, so he bought all the transactions of the gas engineering societies, and all the back volumes of gas journals. William M. Meadowcroft and Charles Henry Meadowcroft, The Boys' Life of Edison (Harper and Brothers, 1911) p. 136.


18. Ibid., pp. 79-81.

Chapter 7: They Fail to Identify Hidden Assumptions

2. Ibid., p. 25.
3. Ibid., p. 76.
4. Ibid., p. 72.
5. Ibid., p. 74.
6. Ibid., p. 81.
7. Ibid., pp. 24,79.
8. Ibid., pp. 82-85.
9. Ibid., p. 78.
10. Ibid., pp. 86, 87.
11. Ibid.
12. Ibid., pp. 88,89.
13. Ibid., pp. 90,91-93.
15. Ibid., p. 95.
17. Ibid., pp. 148, 158,161,191,197.
18. Ibid., p. 148.
20. Ibid., p. 270.
21. Ibid., p. 256.
22. Ibid., p. 270.
23. Ibid., pp. 260,261.
24. Ibid., p. 276.
25. Ibid., p. 284.
26. Ibid., pp. 280,281,283.
27. Ibid., pp. 283, 290-293.
28. Ibid., p. 73.
30. Ibid., p. 76.
33. According to Gates' biographers, “Rule Number One” of dealing with Bill Gates was to stand up to him. According to Gates' personal assistant, "He [Gates] liked it when you stood up to him…. If you backed down from Bill, he wouldn't have respect for you." Stephen Manes and Paul Andrews, Gates (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1994), pp. 200, 259. See also p. 179 on Paul Allen and Bill Gates coming to good decisions at Microsoft by hashing through their disagreements. Note that some people manage to enjoy their arguments rather than escalating to screaming fits and ending in animosity. Albert Einstein didn't agree with Neils Bohr's views on quantum physics, but he found their many discussions a great delight. Einstein wrote to Bohr after one of their visits: "Not often in life has a human being caused me such joy by his mere presence as you did…." Walter Isaacson, Einstein (New York: Simon & Schuster, 2007), p. 325.
34. Example of Jobs' associates arguing against his ideas: Jobs thought of the computer as "a bicycle for the mind" and insisted on changing the name of their "Macintosh" computer to "Bicycle." His colleagues thought it was stupid and refused to use the name. Eventually, Jobs relented. Walter Isaacson, Steve Jobs (New York: Simon & Schuster, 2011), p. 115. See also how they argued on pp. 145-147,460,468,498,499,569.
36. Ibid., pp. 156ff.
37. Ibid., p. 60.
41. Ibid., p. 222.
42. Ibid. For example, see p. 56 on Shockley, others on p. 194. Bell labs allowed tremendous personal freedom to conduct new research (p. 385).
43. Ibid., p. 177.
44. Ibid., p. 354. The author of The Idea Factory says that Google's 20% rule was picked up from an old Bell Labs tradition.
47. Ibid., p. 215.
Chapter 8: They Underestimate the Power of the Paradigm


2. *Einstein,* pp. 323, 324. If energy were introduced to a gas of atoms, photons would be emitted. Yet (and this is what would bother Einstein), “there was no way to determine which direction an emitted photon might go. In addition, there was no way to determine when it would happen.” In classical physics (a la Newton), “if you knew all the positions and velocities in a system you could determine its future.” Einstein’s theory of relativity was indeed radical, but it didn’t violate Newton’s strict rule of cause-and-effect. Yet, the indeterminacy of either the time or direction of a photon’s emission (or particles such as electrons) undermined cause-and-effect, since the resulting states couldn’t be determined beforehand. Einstein’s strict determinism would also lead him to believe in an impersonal rather than personal God. A personal God could make free decisions and make changes in the universe, thus disrupting a strict sequence of natural cause and effect. Also due to his determinism, he didn’t believe in the freedom of people to make choices. (p. 391)

3. Ibid., p. 323.

4. Ibid. See primary source on p. 609.

5. Ibid., p. 333.

6. Ibid., p. 95.

7. Ibid., p. 100. For primary sources, see p. 320 on Einstein’s transition from a revolutionary (on relativity theory) to a conservative (on quantum theory). See 330ff for more developments in quantum physics and Einstein’s problems with it. According to Heisenberg, “When one wishes to calculate ‘the future’ from ‘the present’ one can only get statistical results, since one can never discover every detail of the present.” (p. 333) Who knows? In the end Einstein might get the last laugh, if a “theory of everything” could establish a cause-effect relation that has hitherto remained undiscovered.

8. Ibid., pp. 254,255.


10. Ibid., p. 13 and others as well.

11. Ibid., pp. 254,255. Could Gamow have been exaggerating? Perhaps. See Farrell’s endnote, p. 225. Yet, the comment is consistent with what we know of Einstein’s feelings about his decision. It must have been extremely disappointing. In a letter to Lemaître, Einstein admitted that “since I have introduced the term [the cosmological constant] I had always a bad conscious.” (Einstein, pp. 169,353-356). Concerning Einstein’s resistance to quantum physics, the tables had seemingly turned. While he had once stood against authority, now the one who tossed aside Newton’s idea of absolute time couldn’t part with the idea of the static universe. (p. 254) But while some viewed Einstein as becoming more conservative concerning science in his later years, Einstein viewed himself as the revolutionary who was still in the business of bucking scientific fads, with the recent fad being quantum physics. (p. 463)


15. Ibid., p. 112.

16. Helge Kragh, *Cosmology and Controversy: The Historical Development of Two Theories of the Universe* (Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1996), p. 253. Hoyle was an atheist and felt like a beginning of the universe implied a God, so his emotional preference was for a static universe which had no beginning. According to Farrell, Hoyle “did not hesitate to associate his atheism with the steady state model.” (Farrell, op. cit., pp. 153,205.) He wasn’t alone. “…many scientists admittedly resisted the big bang theory because for them it seemed to imply the moment of creation.” (206)

17. Jastrow, op. cit., p. 16.

18. Nicholas Wade, in *Science,* called it “A landmark in intellectual history.”


22. Reflect on his personality. The same traits that may have contributed to his great breakthrough—roguish, dismissing authority, etc. (Einstein, op. cit., pp. 45,49,67,93)—were the same traits that may have kept him from accepting evidence for quantum physics or the Big Bang.

23. Here I’m reformulating a sentence people have used in reference to paradigms captivating church leaders, something to the effect of “Those who are most resistant to the present move of God are often those who were involved in the last move of God.”
Chapter 9: They Fail to Account for World Views

2. Koba the Dread, op. cit., p. 57.
3. Ibid., p. 35.
4. As Alain Brossat put it. Ibid., p. 34.
6. Ibid., p. 260. Soviet chief ideologue Andrei Zhdanov said, "Falsifiers of science want to revive the fairy tale of the origin of the world from nothing..." (p. 260)
7. Ibid., p. 260. Whereas early Russian leaders resisted certain scientific theories because of their Marxism, Einstein resisted certain theories because of his determinism. For Einstein, a God who intervenes in history (such as in a creation) would disrupt the determinism that he believed was a necessary base for science. "Lemaître recalled how Einstein had complained in one of their meetings that Lemaître's expanding cosmic nucleus was unacceptable because of its metaphysical implications." Einstein had responded to Lemaître, "No, not that, that suggests too much the creation." John Farrell, The Day Without Yesterday (New York: Harper Perennial, 1988), pp. 79-84. "Ernst Mach...lambasted Newton's notion of absolute time as a 'useless metaphysical concept' that 'cannot be produced in experience.'" (p. 125)
10. J.R.R. Tolkien, op. cit., pp. 152-154. Much has been written about The Inklings. Those wanting to know more should consider reading The Inklings, by Humphrey Carpenter. Although I've not read The Inklings, Carpenter's biography of Tolkien was quite good and I'd assume The Inklings would be of similar quality. Other groups include Steve Wozniak and Steve Jobs meeting with the Homebrew Computer Club before launching Apple. Walter Isaacson, Steve Jobs (New York: Simon & Schuster, 2011), pp. 58-63.

415
9. Friedrich Engels fought the second law of thermodynamics because of his atheistic dialectical materialism, which taught that material can have neither a beginning nor an end. (Helge Kragh, *op. cit.*, p. 134). He considered entropy dangerous "because of its association with creation, miracles and theism." (p. 136) For those who want to further explore the history of the laws of thermodynamics, *Entropic Creation* exhibits academic scholarship at its best. The author, Helge Kragh, seems to be familiar with every scientist of any note who considered the laws of thermodynamics and their implications to cosmology. It's not only thorough, but well organized and well written.
10. Ibid., p. 223.
13. Ibid., p. 29. As Lenin said, "We must now give the most decisive and merciless battle to the [clergy] and subdue its resistance with such brutality that they will not forget it for decades to come…. The greater the number of the representatives of the reactionary bourgeoisie and reactionary clergy that we will manage to execute in this affair, the better." (p. 29)
14. Ibid., pp. 63,64.
15. This is found in the introduction to *The Black Book of Communism*, by Jean-Louis Panné, Andrzej Paczkowski, Karel Bartosek, et. al., translated from the original French version by Harvard University Press, 1999, p. x. In this book, six French scholars took up the task of consulting the best sources available to estimate the human death toll of Communism in the 1900s.
17. Since people made the same wages no matter how hard they worked, there was little incentive to get ahead, make superior products, or put customers first. One waiter in a Bratislava, Slovakia restaurant told me, "You'll probably get better service in the restaurant down the street." From a Communist perspective, this attitude makes sense. Why try to be the best restaurant in town if that would result in more customers and more work, but no more pay? Also, because of the fear of government leaders and their lack of accountability to a higher law of ethics, people tried hard not to stand out. If your grades and work were outstanding, you might provoke jealousy or fear in the leaders, who were the gatekeepers for higher education, better jobs, etc.
19. Ibid., p. 288.
20. Ibid., p. 19. Rosenberg states these conclusions on pp. 2 and 3, then spends the rest of the book arguing for them.
21. *Koba the Dread*, *op. cit.*, pp. 14,15, 20. This was from his childhood friend.
22. *Intellectuals*, *op. cit.*, pp. 69ff.
25. See their autobiographies in this regard.
27. This is a variant expression of a sentiment which is often attributed to Tocqueville or Alexander Fraser Tytler, but the earliest known occurrence is as an unsourced attribution to Tytler in "This is the Hard Core of Freedom" by Elmer T. Peterson in *The Daily Oklahoman* (December 9, 1951) http://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Alexis_de_Tocqueville.

**Intermission**


**Chapter 10: They Contradict, Leave Out Valid Options, and Knock Down Straw Men**

1. Analytical philosopher Alvin Plantinga argues that this line of reasoning is consistent with, and even demanded by, philosophical naturalism. http://www.nybooks.com/articles/archives/2012/sep/27/philosopher-defends-religion/.
2. Susan Blackmore and Alex Rosenberg argue that since our brains were constructed solely through naturalistic evolutionary processes—for survival than for finding truth—our brains build mental models that we can't control (there is no "I" or "self" directing the brain, in the view of both authors) and they can't be trusted to lead us to truth. Susan Blackmore, *Dying to Live* (Buffalo, New York: Prometheus Books, 1993), pp149-164; 221-225; Alex Rosenberg, *The Atheist's Guide to Reality* (New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 2011).
3. For example, Hume's radical empiricism led him to deny that we can establish cause/effect relationships—a belief which would
obviously wreak havoc in science.
5. Ibid., p. 52.
6. Ibid., p. 136.
8. Ibid., see also pp. 186-188.
9. Academic biologist H. Allen Orr suggests that Dawkins failed to consider that, rather than ending in an infinite regress ("Who made God?" "Who made the being that made God," etc.), God could be a brute fact, like subatomic particles or matter. "It could, after all, be a brute fact of the universe that it derives from some transcendent mind..." H. Allen Orr, "A Mission to Convert," *The New York Review of Books*, January 11, 2007. http://www.nybooks.com/articles/2007/jan/11/a-mission-to-convert/10. In *The God Delusion*, Dawkins doesn't even mention the option of God being eternal, much less argue against it. In one of his earlier books, *The Blind Watchmaker*, he least acknowledges that some would argue that God exists eternally, but brushes this option off (rather than forward an opposing argument) with a sentence: "You have to say something like 'God was always there', and if you allow yourself that kind of lazy way out, you might as well just say 'DNA was always there', or 'Life was always there', and be done with it." Richard Dawkins, *The Blind Watchmaker* (New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 1996), p. 200. But why does Dawkins consider "something was always there" an invalid option? After all, prior to the 20th century, the majority opinion of scientists was that the universe was always there, extending into eternity past. Was that "lazy" on their part? In fact, when we consider ultimate origins, we'd seem to be left with two options: either there was nothing prior to the Bang (the standard scientific view of the Big Bang, according to Dawkins), so that something appeared out of nothing, with nothing to cause it, (that's absolutely nothing—no empty space, no vacuum), or that the beginning of the universe was caused by something that existed in some non-material form outside of time and space, existing from eternity past. Is the latter option really stranger than something coming from nothing on its own accord? If not, then why does Dawkins think it so inconceivable (or lazy) that God could have existed eternally? He fails to address this question.
15. Ibid., p. 16.
16. Ibid., pp. 2.3,310,311.
17. Ibid., pp. 313-315.
18. Ibid., p. 311.
20. "Minus logical positivists, tremendously influential outside philosophy, especially in psychology and social sciences, intellectual life of the 20th century would be unrecognizable." Yet, "By the late 1960s, the neopositivist movement had clearly run its course. Interviewed in the late 1970s, A. J. Ayer supposed that "the most important 'defect' was that nearly all of it was false." [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logical_positivism#Critics](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logical_positivism#Critics). For a brief history of Logical Positivism, see articles such as "Logical Empiricism" or "Theism" in *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, Edward N. Zalta (ed.). It's a wonderful (free!) resource for all things philosophical.
21. H. Allen Orr, op. cit. Dawkins would seem to be a master of the straw man. Perhaps he gives us a clue as to why in his introduction to *The Divine Watchmaker*, where he states his opinion that Darwin's first edition of *Origin of the Species* was more persuasive than the last edition, because in the first edition Darwin didn't deal with all the objections. Apparently, in Dawkins' mind, Darwin's stating other people's objections took away from his argument. So perhaps Dawkins knows many of the objections people would give to his arguments, but is afraid that if he presents the strongest arguments for all sides of his statements, that this will take away from his persuasiveness. Thus, he presents straw men, which are much more easily knocked down. Example: if you look carefully at his arguments against the existence of God in chapter three of *The God Delusion*, he least acknowledges that some would argue that God exists eternally, but brushes this option off (rather than forward an opposing argument) with a sentence: "You have to say something like 'God was always there', and if you allow yourself that kind of lazy way out, you might as well just say 'DNA was always there', or 'Life was always there', and be done with it." Richard Dawkins, *The Blind Watchmaker* (New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 1996), p. 200. But why does Dawkins consider "something was always there" an invalid option? After all, prior to the 20th century, the majority opinion of scientists was that the universe was always there, extending into eternity past. Was that "lazy" on their part? In fact, when we consider ultimate origins, we'd seem to be left with two options: either there was nothing prior to the Bang (the standard scientific view of the Big Bang, according to Dawkins), so that something appeared out of nothing, with nothing to cause it, (that's absolutely nothing—no empty space, no vacuum), or that the beginning of the universe was caused by something that existed in some non-material form outside of time and space, existing from eternity past. Is the latter option really stranger than something coming from nothing on its own accord? If not, then why does Dawkins think it so inconceivable (or lazy) that God could have existed eternally? He fails to address this question.
25. Note other objections to this argument:

1. Going along with our argument concerning the mining operation on the moon, philosophers argue that an immediate explanation doesn't require an ultimate explanation. Example: William Craig suggests that if we found artifacts of a lost civilization, that's sufficient evidence that the civilization actually existed, even if we have no ultimate explanation of where the civilization came from. [Contending with Christianity's Critics](http://opinionator.blogs.nytimes.com/2011/07/so-you-think-you-understand.html). Also, view Dr. William Craig's presentation at Oxford on the same topic at [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F9CwDTRrOE](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=F9CwDTRrOE).
2. From a purely naturalistic perspective, we have no ultimate explanation of anything. For example, you may ask why this cat is sitting on my desk looking at me? I may respond, "It wants to lick the milk out of my bowl of cereal." But what if you counter, "That's no explanation, where did the cat come from?" I may say, "Its mom." And you may complain, "Yes, of course. But if you can't give me the ultimate explanation of where the cat came from, I refuse to believe that it even exists." Yet, from a naturalistic perspective, all scientific explanations end with the Big Bang, a place at which physics as we know it breaks down and at which scientists tell us all scientific questions stop. All reductionist scientific explanations end with the Big Bang, and if we ask one more "Why?" beyond the Big Bang, science lets us down,
because the Big Bang is a singularity. Thus, if all arguments about the existence of this or that must answer the ultimate question of origins to be meaningful, aren't we stuck with no meaningful arguments at all? Thus, from a naturalistic perspective we can't ultimately answer the question, "Where did this cat come from?" But would Dawkins thus concede that we therefore can't argue for its existence? Surely not.


27. For the story of the development of Starbucks' instant coffee, see Schultz, Howard and Dori Jones Yang, Pour Your Heart Into It: How Starbucks Built a Company One Cup at a Time (New York: Hyperion, 1997), pp. 216-218.

Chapter 11: They Fall for Other Common Fallacies

1. I compared lists from 1) the writing center at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, which includes tips for spotting fallacies http://writingcenter.unc.edu/handouts/fallacies/ 2) the University of Idaho http://www.webpages.uidaho.edu/eng207-td/Logic%20and%20Analysis/most_common_logical_fallacies.htm 3) California State, Fullerton, includes nice, down home examples - http://commfaculty.fullerton.edu/rgass/fallacy3211.htm 4) from Purdue University - https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/659/03/ 5) the University of Texas, El Paso - http://utminers.utep.edu/omwilliamson/ENGL1311/fallacies.htm 5) Carson Newman, helpful for its division by categories - http://web.cn.edu/kwheeler/fallacies_list.html 6) the University of Louisiana, Lafayette, gives documented examples - http://www.ucs.louisiana.edu/~kak7409/Fallacies.html 7) Mesa Community College - http://www.mesacc.edu/~paoih30491/ArgumentsFallaciesQ.html 8) California State - http://www.csus.edu/indiv/g/gaskild/suliticalthinking/Six%20Common%20Fallacies.htm 9) Sacramento State University 9) the University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire http://www.uwec.edu/ranowlan/logical%20fallacies.html 10) St. Lawrence University 11) the University of Oklahoma 12) North Kentucky University. It's interesting that some of these universities use contradictory definitions of various fallacies.

2. Bertrand Russell demonstrated this tendency. He seemed to relish standing against the majority opinion. A person with his disposition should strongly consider that his assessment of evidence might be skewed by this character trait. See chapter 25 for an analysis of the passions that drove Russell.


Chapter 12: They Either Fail to Recognize Fallacies, or Misapply The Ones They Know

1. Aristotle was the first I'm aware of to discuss examples. Apparently, back in 350 BCE, Greek predecessors to today's trolls strolled about annoying the great philosophers, imagining that they were spouting profundities. Thus, Aristotle wrote a work about "Sophistical Refutations," which he defined as "what appear to be refutations but are really fallacies instead." While mainly writing about logical fallacies, he also spoke of assigning fallacies incorrectly. See Aristotle, Sophistical Refutations, written c. 350 B.C.E., translated by W. A. Pickard-Cambridge, available digitally here: http://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/sophist_refut.1.1.html.

2. Aristotle describes this issue: "By a sophistical refutation and syllogism I mean not only a syllogism or refutation which appears to be valid but is not, but also one which, though it is valid, only appears to be appropriate to the thing in question." (Italics mine, Part Eight, Sophistical Refutations.)


4. Tetlock, in his respected work, Expert Political Judgment, suggests that those who use more temperate language tend to be more accurate in their predictions. He brings together a wealth of research showing that the foxes (who know many little things) predict better than the hedgehogs (who know one niche area in depth), although the latter are typically considered the experts and practically everyone (e.g., news sources) wants to hear from them. Those who speak in terms of "perhaps," and "possibly" are far better predictors than the dogmatic, assured experts. Philip E. Tetlock, Expert Political Judgment (Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 2005, 2006).

5. Many such schemes of categorization have been proposed through the centuries. For example, John Stewart Mill proposed five general categories: Fallacies of Simple Inspection (or A Priori Fallacies), of Observation, of Generalization, of Ratiocination, of Confusion. A System Of Logic, Ratiocinative And Inductive (New York: Harper & Brothers, Publishers, 1882, available digitally...

6. Encyclopaedia Britannica, 1910 edition, see the article entitled Fallacy.
7. From his article "Fallacies," op. cit.

Chapter 13: They Draw Conclusions from Inadequate Evidence

2. I don't want to point to my sources for this article, since it might falsely incriminate the innocent. At present we don't know all the facts about this case (which is my point in recounting this story), so I don't want to influence people's opinions.
6. Ibid., p. 47.
8. Ibid., pp. 39-40.
9. Ibid., pp. 38, 41.
11. Ibid., p. 41.
12. Ibid., p. 38.
13. Ibid., p. 41.
14. Another potential differentiator would be their love for playing their instruments. If some love it more than others, they understandably practice more and probably get more out of their practice, since they're so fascinated with their instrument and into their music. Some simply lose interest over time. So we can't assume that if we take those who've lost interest and force them to practice as much as the obsessed ones that they'd get just as much out of their practices and would be able to progress at the rate of those with more raw talent.
16. Philip Norman, Shout! The Beatles in Their Generation (New York: Touchstone, 2005), pp. 157,159. Consider also the successful Barcelona soccer team, which practices much less than others would assume.
http://thetalentcode.com/2013/06/07/forget-10000-hours-instead-aim-for-10-minutes/
20. See Moonwalking with Einstein, opt cit. See also https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gWl4iiX4PNw.

Chapter 14: They're Snowed by Success Bias

3. "Are there any losers?" Self-help speakers typically make a point and then give examples of people who've applied this point with profit. [See Gary Collins, Helping People Grow (Santa Anna, California: Vision House, pp. 268,276).] Yet, success stories could be given to back up any number of competing theories.
7. For example, see Sam Walton with John Huey, Sam Walton: Made in America (New York: Doubleday, 1992) and From Lucky to Smart: Leadership Lessons from QuikTrip (Mullerhaus Publishing Group, 2008).
12. See J. Steve Miller and Cherie K. Miller, Sell More Books (Acworth, GA: Wisdom Creek Press, 2011) and J. Steve Miller,
Chapter 15: They "Discover" Meaningless Patterns

2. Ibid., p. 91.
5. Ibid., pp.79,80.
6. Ibid., p. 108.
8. Ibid., p. 108.
12. Ibid., p. 6.
13. Ibid., pp. 44-45.
17. Bulgatz, op. cit., p. 98.
21. Ibid., p. 45 - the "dividing the dividend by"… technique was touted for a time by some influential investors. On chimps and investing, see p. 70.
22. See *Your Money and Your Brain*, op. cit., p. 184. Perhaps it's best to be agnostic about the future of the economy.
23. 2001 Chairman's Letter for Berkshire Hathaway.
25. Ibid., pp. 85-87. See the entire Chapter Five in Zweig (pp. 85ff.) on "Confidence."

Chapter 16: They Fail to Closely Examine Statistics

2. Ibid.
4. U.S. Department of Labor, Department of Labor Statistics, Occupational Outlook Handbook, "Biomedical Engineers," http://www.bls.gov/ooh/architecture-and-engineering/biomedical-engineers.htm#tab-6. This report has downgraded the growth to 27 percent for the decade 2012 to 2022, which is a huge change, unless someone can show that from 2010 to 2011 biomed grew by a whopping 34 percent! Reports cited below certainly don't show such growth.
5. I gathered this stat by counting the US biomed related programs listed at www.gradschools.com.
8. Ibid. According to this 2014 Fact Sheet, "Although the unemployment rate for life, physical, and social science occupations is similar to other STEM occupations, in the last four years these occupations have shed 102,000 jobs and total employment has declined by 7.2 percent." (Italics mine.) Of course, biotech would be a subset of these occupations and would need to be
Why Brilliant People Believe Nonsense

considered separately. Compare this to their statement that "Employment in professional and related occupations increased 3.6 percent from 2010 to 2013. (Italics mine.) They gathered their stats from here: http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d13/tabs/dt13_318_20.asp.
11. Ibid.
12. Ibid.
17. Ibid.

Chapter 17: They Make Common Statistical Blunders

3. In the early 1990's I pulled these statistics from the US Department of Health and Human Services, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Alan Guttmacher Institute (the latter of which is often used by the CDC).
10. Ibid., p. 61.
13. Washington University, "Are You With Me? Measuring Student Attention in the Classroom," The Teaching Center Journal, (May 23, 2013), http://teachingcenter.wustl.edu/Journal/Reviews/Pages/student-attention.aspx?U6GHWChwvkg. It mostly was a minute or less, with the first spike about 30 seconds into the lecture, then at five minutes, eight minutes, etc. Basically, they waxed and waned throughout the lecture—with more attention lapses as time went on—about every two minutes by the end. When active learning was used, students were more engaged and their attention span was longer.
15. Ibid.
Chapter 18: They Fail to Learn from History

1. Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel, John Sibree, translator, Introduction to the Philosophy of History (first published in 1837, now the text is under common domain), Introduction.
2. While philosopher Alex Rosenberg claims that we can learn nothing from history (pp. 242ff); elsewhere, in the same book, he wants to teach us from history. For example, on p. viii he writes of science having been "vindicated beyond reasonable doubt." But isn't that vindication based upon the pattern of success in the history of science? On p. 321 he pontificates from the history of ethics. On p. 315 he recommends that the depressed take Prozac; but isn't that recommendation based upon the medical histories of those who've taken Prozac? The Atheists' Guide to Reality (New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 2012).
3. Rousseau fathered four children by Therese, all of whom he abandoned at birth, giving them to an overcrowded hospital, where they in all likelihood either died or became beggars. Paul Johnson, Intellectuals (New York: Harper & Row), pp. 21,22.
4. Ibid., 212-219.
5. Ibid., 115-121.
8. From a 2007 personal discussion with accountant and money counselor Larry Winter. He uses an analogy to warn clients about risky ventures and investments. To start a fire, you need three ingredients: air, fuel and something to ignite the fuel. In the same way three things lead to disaster in investing: 1) Do it in a hurry, 2) in an area you know nothing about, 3) with borrowed money.
9. For example, they had no experience running electricity businesses, technology businesses, or water utilities. Over time, this took its toll.
11. Buffett is perfectly content to spend his days pouring over the financial reports of companies that he either owns or might acquire.
13. Fannie Flagg, who wrote the novel Fried Green Tomatoes, once said, "I'm dyslexic. I write the end, then middle, then some of the beginning. I write scenes, then hang them on a clothesline down my great-big, long hallway. It just helps me to see the story visually in sequence. The hardest part is putting it all together at the end. It's like piecing together a quilt. And if I drop it, I have a totally different book." (Found in Cherie K. Miller, Writing Conversations (Wisdom Creek Press, 2010), p. 28.
14. Peter Han, Nobodies to Somebodies (New York: Penguin Group, 2005), pp. 64-66. A part of the reason they moved on from their first jobs was for professional growth—to keep learning new things and to be stretched.
15. At Enron, leaders such as Jeff Skilling and Rebecca Mark "believed that talent outweighed experience, and in Enron's world, talent meant thirty-somethings with MBAs from all the best business schools. What did it matter that they didn't know a thing about water. They were smart; they would figure it out." Bethany McLean and Peter Elkind, The Smartest Guys in the Room (New York: Penguin Group), p. 251. Skilling was so ignorant of the computer industry that he couldn't even send and receive email or turn on his computer, but he thought he could make a killing off broadband. Enron leaders made fun of businesses that be running them ten years down the road. (pp. 120,182-184,186)

Chapter 19: They Learn the Wrong Lessons from History

1. The name of the artist, on the cartoon, appears to be "Torn" or "Jorn".
5. From Amazon.com.
6. Olson and Miesel, op. cit., p. 21, quoting from Brown's site.
7. I checked his site more recently, and someone seems to have taken the sources down.
8. Olson and Miesel (pp. 223-239) provide a good critique of Brown's primary sources, such as Holy Blood, Holy Grail, The Templar Revelation, and The Messianic Legacy. After in depth research of Brown's historical claims in The Da Vinci Code, Olson and Miesel conclude that "Brown's vaunted research largely involved reading Picknett and Prince's book." (p. 263)
10. As he's referred to in popular writing, I'll call him "Da Vinci," although technically that's not his last name, but a reference to where he was from. He should be properly referred to as Leonardo.
13. I'm speaking here of *The Da Vinci Hoax*, op. cit.
23. Ibid.
24. "What Caused the Crash?" op. cit.
26. Ibid.
27. Ibid. See also Ryan Chittum, "Bill Clinton on Deregulation: 'The Republicans Made Me Do It!': The Ex-President Seriously Mischaracterizes His Record", Oct. 1, 2013, *Columbia Journalism Review* - http://www.cjr.org/the_audit/bill_clinton_the_republicans_m.php?page=all.
28. Note Warren Buffett's 2008 Letter to Shareholders of Birkshire Hathaway: "These parties looked at loss experience over periods when home prices rose only moderately and speculation in houses was negligible. They then made this experience a yardstick for evaluating future losses. They blissfully ignored the fact that house prices had recently skyrocketed, loan practices had deteriorated, and many buyers had opted for houses they couldn’t afford. In short, universe “past” and universe “current” had very different characteristics. But lenders, government and media largely failed to recognize this all-important fact. Investors should be skeptical of history-based models. Constructed by a nerdy-sounding priesthood using esoteric terms such as beta, gamma, sigma and the like, these models tend to look impressive. Too often, though, investors forget to examine the assumptions behind the symbols. Our advice: Beware of geeks bearing formulas."


"Three hundred million Americans, their lending institutions, their government, their media, all believed that house prices were going to go up consistently," he said. (Quoting Buffett) "Lending was done based on it, and everybody did a lot of foolish things." Steve Lohr, "Like J.P. Morgan, Warren E. Buffett Braves a Crisis," *The New York Times*, Oct. 5, 2008, http://www.nytimes.com/2008/10/06/business/06buffett.html?%3Cem%3Er=0
29. According to the commission, "We conclude over-the-counter derivatives contributed significantly to this crisis. The enactment of legislation in 2000 to ban the regulation by both the federal and state governments of over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives was a key turning point in the march toward the financial crisis." (http://fcic.law.stanford.edu/report/conclusions) While then President Bill Clinton claims the Republican majority in Congress made him do it, the historical data, as summarized in this *Columbia Journalism Review* article, don't seem to support his contention: "Bill Clinton on Deregulation," op. cit.
30. "What Caused the Crash?" op. cit.
33. According to Paul Johnson, "Virtually all Marx's facts, selectively deployed (and sometimes falsified) as they were, came from
the efforts of the State (inspectors, courts, Justices of the Peace) to improve conditions, which necessarily involved exposing and punishing those responsible.... "Intellectuals, op. cit., pp. 68,69.
35. Allan Bloom, The Closing of the American Mind (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1987). On our tendency to allow the current intellectual trends to shape their views, it's interesting to read the intellectual journey of a modern philosopher who decided that today's philosophers tend to take for granted that earlier views (such as Aquinas) have been demolished, without giving the historic arguments a serious look. http://edwardfeser.blogspot.com/2012/07/road-from-atheism.html.
39. Paul Johnson traces four aspects of Marx's personal history that impacted his writings: "his taste for violence, his appetite for power, his inability to handle money and, above all, his tendency to exploit those around him." Intellectuals, op. cit., pp. 69-81.

Chapter 20: They Miss Subtle Shifts in Word Meanings

2. Ibid.
3. Ibid. In German, they called him "der Depperte."
4. Ibid., pp. 7,16.
5. Ibid., pp. 9,23.
6. Ibid., p. 34.
7. Ibid., p. 39.
8. Ibid., p. 9.
9. Ibid., pp. 438,439. Once a secretary at Princeton received a call from someone asking for Einstein's home address. When she replied that she couldn't give it out, the caller whispered, "Please don't tell anybody, but I am Dr. Einstein, I'm on my way home, and I've forgotten where my house is."
10. Ibid., p. 325. Einstein and quantum physicist Niels Bohr were travelling from the train station in Copenhagen by streetcar. Engrossed in conversation, they "rode to and fro," according to Bohr, "and I can well imagine what the people thought about us."
11. Ibid., p. 227.
12. Ibid., p. 438.
13. Ibid., p. 540.
14. Ibid., p. 16.
15. Ibid., p. 20.
19. Sam Walton with John Huey, Sam Walton: Made in America (New York: Doubleday, 1992) pp. 17,18. Walton would "screw up the sales slips and generally mishandle the cash register part of things." One man who evaluated personnel for J. C. Penney told Walton, "I'd fire you if you weren't such a good salesman. Maybe you're just not cut out for retail." (p. 18)
20. Ibid., p. 116. According to Walton, "...if you asked me am I an organized person, I would have to say flat out no, not at all." "My style is pretty haphazard."
25. Ibid., pp. 91,152,153.
28. Strength advocates such as Marcus Buckingham point to research on over two million people in the workplace by the Gallup organization, showing that people in the workplace are often miscast in their roles. Rather than concentrating on strengthening our weaknesses (which most schools emphasize), strengths advocates argue that our greatest potential improvement is in our areas of strength. See Marcus Buckingham and Curt Coffman, First, Break All the Rules: What the World's Greatest Managers Do Differently (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1999).
29. Leonardo, op. cit., pp. 28,29,55-70. Leonardo probably began this apprenticeship experience at age twelve or thirteen.
30. Spielberg applied to two prestigious film schools, but was rejected because of his "mediocre academic record." McBride, op. cit., p. 131.
Latin Works rather I hated the just God who punishes sinners." But when he deliberated on the context of this phrase, he "began to understand that this verse means that the justice of God...is a passive justice, i.e. that by which the merciful God justifies us by faith." ["Justice" is an alternative translation for "righteousness."] Dr. Martin Luther, under philosophically as referring to formal or active justice, as they call it, i.e., that justice by which God is just and by which he punishes sinners and the unjust.... I couldn't be sure that God was appeased by my satisfaction. I did not love, no, rather I hated the just God who punishes sinners." But when he deliberated on the context of this phrase, he "began to understand that this verse means that the justice of God...is a passive justice, i.e. that by which the merciful God justifies us by faith." ["Justice" is an alternative translation for "righteousness."] Dr. Martin Luther, Preface to the Complete Edition of Luther's Latin Works (1545), translated by Andrew Thornton from the "Vorrede zu Band I der Opera Latina der Wittenberger Ausgabe. 1545" in vol. 4 of Luthers Werke in Auswahl, ed. by Otto Clemen, 6th ed., (Berlin: de Gruyter, 1967), pp. 421-428.
Chapter 22: They Use Faulty Parallels and Analogies

2. Later in this chapter, note that I ask, why compare to only 12 other countries? Why these 12? It looks suspiciously like they were cherry-picked, comparing ourselves to largely homogeneous cultures we knew were at the top on testing. Of course, we came out below them. Studies like this appear to be designed to show the United States at the bottom of lists on charts; but at least the article does note that socio-economic factors are a much greater issue in American culture than the other cultures. The Editorial Board, "Why Other Countries Teach Better: Three Reasons Students Do Better Overseas," Dec. 17, 2013, The New York Times, http://www.nytimes.com/2013/12/18/opinion/why-students-do-better-overseas.html?pagewanted=all&_r=1.
3. If they've changed the subtitle by the time you view it, I've saved a screen shot on the accompanying website, http://www.nytimes.com/2013/12/18/opinion/why-students-do-better-overseas.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0.
5. This also impacts comparisons with Shanghai, an extremely wealthy region, which doesn't even offer education to their 9 million migrant workers. Jill Barshay, "Shanghai Likely to Repeat Strong Results on International PISA test in December," Education by the Numbers, pub. by The Hechinger Report, posted Nov. 18, 2013, http://educationbythenumbers.org/content/shanghai-likely-repeat-strong-results-international-pisa-test-december_644/.
8. Rafael trizarry, op. cit.
Chapter 23: They Fail to Identify and Interpret Fiction and Figurative Language

10. Sherwood Eliot Wirt, "The Final Interview of C.S. Lewis," Assist News Service, http://www.cbn.com/special/narnia/articles/ans_lewislastinterviewa.aspx. In this, his final interview, Lewis answers the question: "Would you say that the aim of Christian writing, including your own writing, is to bring about an encounter of the reader with Jesus Christ?" Lewis responds: "That is not my language, yet it is the purpose I have in view.
12. See, for example, Proverbs 3:16.

Chapter 24: They're Overwhelmed by Their Passions

1. The teen state of brain development impacts "the urgency and intensity of emotional reactions." "Adolescents and adults seem to engage different parts of the brain to different extents during tests requiring calculation and impulse control, or in reaction to emotional content." "One interpretation of all these findings is that in teens, the parts of the brain involved in emotional responses are fully online, or even more active than in adults, while the parts of the brain involved in keeping emotional, impulsive responses in check are still reaching maturity. Such a changing balance might provide clues to a youthful appetite for novelty, and a tendency to act on impulse—without regard for risk." "The Teen Brain: Still Under Construction," National Institute of Mental Health, NIH Publication No. 11-4929, 2011, http://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/publications/the-teen-brain-still-under-construction/index.shtml.
3. Flynn McRoberts and Cam Simpson, "Ex-Enron Exec's Suicide Note Released," *Chicago Tribune*, April 12, 2002,
7. Ibid.
8. Ibid., p. 365.
9. For example, see how Fastow doctored his resume by claiming personal credit for other's accomplishments.
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