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Abstract

This paper will research two organizations listed on the 2015 Dow Jones Sustainability World Index: Unilever, which has appeared on the DJSI World since the index began in 1999, and Coca-Cola Enterprises, recognized on the index for the first time in 2015. The aim of this paper is to use a qualitative case study approach to identify CSR marketing strategies used by Unilever and Coca-Cola Enterprises to create economic sustainability. Thereby aiming to provide a marketing strategy framework for CSR efforts to create economic sustainability.

Companies are being saddled with the responsibility to define the business case for sustainability (Banerjee, 2008) (Huang, Yen, Liu, & Huang, 2014; Prutina, 2015). Over the years, sustainability has adapted to new trends in business. Research is comprehensive regarding social sustainability and environmental sustainability, however a gap exists in research on economic sustainability. In 2001, Williams defined economic sustainability as “ensuring that future generations have at least the same potential economic opportunities to achieve welfare as the current generation” (p. 21).

Literature Review

Sustainability is defined differently across the literature. The Brundtland Commission’s (World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987, p. 8) definition: “development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generation to meet their needs” is widely accepted (Gmelin & Seuring, 2014; Mirchandani & Ikerd, 2008; Grinde & Khare, 2008). According to Dow Jones, corporate sustainability is defined as “a business approach that creates long term shareholder value by embracing opportunities and managing risks deriving from economic, environmental, and social developments” (Dow Jones Sustainability Index, 2009). Bhardwaj (2014) stated CSR is simply limiting the negative effects to the natural, cultural, and social environment.
Sustainability performance includes three criteria for measurement: economic, environmental, and social sustainability (Makipere & Yip, 2008; Wolf, 2014). Wolf (2014) conducted research reflecting the causal relationships between environmental and social sustainability, but did not include economic performance. While researchers state economic sustainability is reached when the organization creates value, stakeholders assign varied definitions to the term “value” (Pitelis, 2013, Epstein, 2008). Researchers state that economic sustainability is reached when the organization creates value. Value is an ambiguous term (Pitelis, 2013). Value to a stockholder may be a return on their investment, value to a stakeholder may be the company’s community outreach program (Epstein, 2008). Beyond just looking at profit, the lack of a clear way to measure and determine economic sustainability, beyond profit, is a problem. The possibility exists that research is lacking due to belief in Carroll’s Pyramid of Social Responsibility (Carroll, 1991). Carroll stated that economic sustainability served as the foundation of social responsibility. Therefore, you would not have legal, ethical, and philanthropic responsibilities without first meeting the organization’s economic responsibility (Carroll, 1991).


Corporate Social Responsibility is often either built-in or bolted-on to an overall marketing strategy. Weber (2014) and Prutina (2015) stated the CSR efforts of an organization should be aligned (built-in) with the business strategy. Munro (2013) stated strategic planning should include sensitivity to customer loyalty based on CSR. Therefore, we can reason that companies may see cost advantages with strong CSR plans. Nyborg (2013) found employees will accept less compensation from an organization with a strong CSR plan. Irregardless of a contribution to the bottom line, stakeholders and shareholders are expecting a higher ethical standard regarding the organization’s external environment (Sledge, 2015).

In 2015, Dwivedi and Sinha suggest positive purchasing decisions may be created when organizations use CSR as a branding tool. When consumers have believe an organization is behaving socially responsible, purchasing intentions are positively influenced (Huang, Yen, Liu, & Huang, 2014). Bhardwaj (2014) found a correlation between customer loyalty and positive CSR efforts.

**Methodology**

The qualitative business case study is a strategy of inquiry exploring a topic in depth (Creswell, 2009). The approach ensures the issue is not explored through one lens, but rather a variety of many possible viewpoints to help to reveal and
understand the concepts associated with the study. According to Baxter & Jack (2008), a common problem associated with case studies is the researchers’ tendency to attempt to answer too broad questions or topics with too many objectives. To avoid this problem, Yin (2003) and Stake (1995) suggested placing boundaries on a case. This case is bound by time and by activity; only two companies, dealing with sustainability and marketing strategy.
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**Relevance to Marketing Educators, Researchers and Practitioners:** The research will have an impact on marketing practitioners and strategy leaders on best practices of communicating sustainability efforts to stakeholders and shareholders.
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