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Abstract

Mechanical Turk, an online crowdsourcing service created in 2005 by Amazon.com, is gaining in popularity among academics as a source of subjects for survey research. The initial attraction is based on access to a very large pool of participants who can be accessed online producing rapid response at low cost with minimal effort on the part of the researcher. For the uninitiated, however, the task of designing and running a research project on MTurk is rather daunting.

This paper begins with an introduction to MTurk. We review the language of MTurk and explain how a researcher goes about setting up an online survey. Next we talk about several of the decisions that have to be made by the researcher. Decisions relate to the compensation rate to be paid, qualifications for respondents, timing decisions, and aspects of project design to aid in filtering out bad data. Such decisions are especially complicated when it comes to lengthy surveys.

In the third section of this paper, we review the concerns associated with survey data collection using MTurk. These include concerns about (1) generalizability, (2) replication of findings, (3) the effect of reward on data quality, (4) the growing number of workers from India, (5) study length and (6) inattentiveness.

The fourth section evaluates some of the key implementation decisions that must be made by researchers. These decisions involve (1) the inclusion, format and placement of attention check questions; (2) the amount of the Reward, (3) the minimum level of Respondent experience and approval rating, (4) the estimated time to complete the survey as well as the time by which to submit the HIT, (5) posting the HIT, and (6) the criteria to be used to reject submissions.

Next, we present batch-level metrics for our data collection using a lengthy survey. Data was collected using a 143 item survey about service failure recovery designed on Survey Monkey and linked to MTurk. The target sample size was set at 600 Respondents. The estimated time required to complete the survey was 45 minutes; however Respondents were allowed a total of 90 minutes to return from Survey Monkey to MTurk and enter their identification code. Eight reasons for rejection were used during the survey approval process. In addition to the one pretest (N=5), data was collected in 13 separate batches. The decisions made for each batch are
identified and explained. The batch level metrics that are reported and discussed include data includes the date of posting, respondent approval rating, the number of hits requested, the number of hits received, the number of surveys accepted, and the percent of surveys accepted. We present data regarding the number of surveys rejected based on each of the eight rejection criteria.

Across the data collection, 938 HITS were submitted and 605 were approved, producing an average acceptance rate of 64.5%. In addition to the 938 HITS that could be processed for either acceptance or rejection of payment, there were an additional 66 Respondents who could not be processed. These were people who went to the Survey Money link but failed to return to MTurk and therefore did not show up in the MTurk results to be processed for payment. Some Respondents answered a single question before opting out of the survey, while others completed one of the two electronic pages before exiting the survey. If a Respondent does not go back to MTurk, their Worker number is never recorded by MTurk.

We conclude by identifying a number of findings from our MTurk data collection experience that were particularly notable, if not downright surprising. Recommendations are made for various decision areas to promote a more successful MTurk experience for future researchers.
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